

John Filo, Associated Press

Oklahoma State's Ray Etchebarren slides into Texas second-baseman Bryan Burrows and breaks up a double play in a crucial game of the Division I Men's Baseball Championship. Texas won the game, 15-8, in 13 innings to knock the Cowboys from the undefeated ranks. Arizona State captured its fifth championship with a 7-4 victory over Oklahoma State in the final game.

Congress passes bill for funding of NYSP

A \$6 million 1981 appropriation for the National Youth Sports Program gained final passage in Congress June 4.

In spite of an administration request to eliminate the entire \$6 million appropriation and a Senate vote to grant that request, Congressional supporters of the NYSP won final approval in a House-Senate conference on the fiscal 1981 supplemental appropriations bill, H.R. 3512, in a June 2 negotiating session. President Reagan signed the bill June 5.

Support for continuation of the NYSP has continued to be strong in the House of Representatives. In a recent report, the House Appropriations Committee stated that the NYSP has been successful and that the committee wanted the program to continue.

Major proponents for the NYSP on the House Appropriations Committee were Chairman Jamie L. Whitten (D-Mississippi); Labor, Health and Human Services and Education Subcommittee Chairman William H. Natcher (D-Kentucky), and ranking minority member Silvio O.

Conte (R-Massachusetts), all of whom were members of the final House-Senate conference committee.

Conferees from the Senate who figured prominently in the ultimate decision to accept the House position were Senate Appropriations Committee Chairman Mark O. Hatfield (R-Oregon) and Harrison H. Schmitt (R-New Mexico), chairman of the appropriations subcommittee dealing with the matter.

Now that funding for 1981 is assured, the focus will shift to securing the 1982 appropriation and legislative reauthorization for the NYSP. A bill to reauthorize most programs sponsored by the Community Services Administration, including the NYSP, was introduced in Congress April 7 by Congressman Ike Andrews (D-North Carolina) and others. The bill, H.R. 3045, has been reported out of committee and is awaiting floor action. No NYSP reauthorization measure has yet been introduced in the Senate. Congressional action on 1982 appropriations will take place later this summer.



VOL. 18 • NO. 10

June 15, 1981

More details provided on NCAA TV plan vote

In response to media inquiries prompted by a College Football Association statement, the NCAA has provided an additional breakdown on the votes cast in the recent referendum on the NCAA Football Television Plan.

As reported in the May 15 issue of the NCAA News, the television plan received a 220-6 (97.3 percent) majority during the balloting period. Apparently after surveying its 62 member institutions and five member conferences, the CFA said the NCAA tabulation of the votes was erroneous.

According to news reports, the CFA claimed that 51 CFA member institutions had abstained from voting and that two CFA members had voted against the principles.

"The CFA statement is both misleading and contradictory to my tabulation of the ballots received by the NCAA during the voting period (April 21 through May 8)," said Ted C. Tow, NCAA assistant executive director and recording secretary of the NCAA Council.

"The official recorded vote, which includes all votes received during the voting period, includes the following ballots cast by CFA members: nine votes in favor, no votes against and 24 abstentions (including one from an allied conference).

"We also received a total of 33 votes from NCAA members outside the voting period (two before it began and 31 after the May 8 deadline). Included among those were one vote from a CFA member in favor of the principles, none voting against and eight additional abstentions. (The other 24 late votes were from non-CFA members, and all voted in favor of the principles.)

"The CFA position, as we understand it, dictated that its members formally abstain with notice that they were reserving their television rights. Simply not voting, or abstaining officially but without the notice, did not implement the CFA recommendation," Tow said.

"Counting all votes received—before, during and after the voting period—the NCAA has received a total of 42 from CFA members. Of those, 10 voted in favor of the principles, no CFA member voted against and there were 32 abstentions. Only 28 of those 32 abstained with the legal notice recommended to them by the CFA. Thirty-two abstentions is 19 fewer than noted in (the) CFA communication; we received no vote against the plan from any CFA member, and one of the institutions listed by the CFA as abstaining did, in fact, vote in favor of the principles.

"We released earlier the official tally of the votes received during the voting period. The official count was 220 in favor, six against and 28 abstentions, for an approval percentage of 97.3 percent of the members voting (abstentions do not count one way or the other in NCAA voting procedures).

"To further clarify the scope of the approval, if all of the votes cast were counted, including those that did not arrive during the voting period, there would be 245 votes in favor, six votes against and 36 abstentions, for a 97.6 percent approval. If the abstentions were treated as votes against the principles, which they most certainly are not, the membership still would have approved the principles by 85.4 percent."



Utah State's Jay Don Blake challenged until the end of the NCAA Division I Men's Golf Championships before finally finishing second to Southern California's Ron Commans.

Television interpretation affirmed

The NCAA Council has voted to affirm its April 17 interpretation of the authority of the Football Television Committee as set forth in Bylaw 11-3-(aa).

In a special session conducted during the meetings of the division steering committees June 11-12 in Houston, the Council sustained the interpretation without a dissenting vote after discussing the recent action of the College Football Association to reject the Council's April ruling.

The Council also agreed to send a letter to the chief executive officers of all NCAA member institutions to clarify the Council's position regarding the Association's football television activities and to answer questions raised regarding the CFA action.

In the same special meeting, the Council also affirmed its January decision that the Association's infractions program would not be applicable to women's athletics programs at member institutions during the 1981-to-1985 period specified in the governance plan.

Regardless of the organizational rules an institution applies to its women's program during that period, the NCAA Eligibility Committee will determine the eligibility of member institutions and their women student-athletes for participation in NCAA women's championships. Ineligibility for one or more such championships would be the only penalty applied to a women's program by the NCAA during that period.

When it is necessary to review the rules of another organization for these purposes, the Eligibility Committee will rely

Continued on page 5

Agents' advice self-serving

Lefty Driesell, basketball coach
University of Maryland, College Park
The Washington Post

"It hurts my program when I find out at the last minute that a (Moses) Malone isn't going to enroll or a (Buck) Williams isn't coming back for his senior year. Of course, I've always said if a player can get big money and a guaranteed contract, he should go.

"But the agents are working on the kids now. They aren't just advising them; they're telling them they should go. Remember, they don't get money if the kid stays in school. Naturally, they're going to influence the player to get out. That's the only way they get paid."

Al McGuire, former coach
Marquette University
Houston Chronicle

"It (recruiting) is a lover's paradise. A coach feels if he lost a war for a player, there must be a reason, other than the one that the other school just worked harder or was luckier. He becomes his own worst enemy. He goes around saying, 'Oh, yeah. You know why he went there.'

"I used to hear a lot of that kind of stuff directed at me. It was baloney. I got the ghetto kid because I knew how to talk to him. I'm sure some cheating goes on but nowhere near the degree people think."

Al Groh, football coach
Wake Forest University
Richmond Times-Dispatch

"This is a hard job. That doesn't mean that it's not a good job or that it's an impossible job. It's just that there are places where the machinery cranks up every morning, where the program just seems to perpetuate itself; and that's not the case at Wake Forest. The important thing in life is to be realistic to your situation, and the reality here is that we have to look for creative and innovative ways to be successful. I think that's why this is an interesting job."

Bob Hurt, columnist
Arizona Republic

"Almost automatically over the years, I've supported the NCAA enforcement program. Some organization has to try to enforce sanity in recruiting.

"But NCAA recruiting rules are a messy hodgepodge. They evolved piecemeal. A leak occurs, and the NCAA sticks a finger in the dike. It has long since run out of fingers, but the process continues.

"A problem pops up, and legislation is passed to cover it—with little or no concern about enforcement, complications or implications. Too often, a fringe-type violation, far from the intent of the original legislation, entraps an innocent bystander."

Hallock speaks to CFA convention

EDITOR'S NOTE: *NCAA Football Television Committee Chair Wiles Hallock, Pacific-10 Conference, spoke June 5 to the annual meeting of the College Football Association. Excerpts from that speech follow.*

As chair of the NCAA Football Television Committee, I am pleased to participate in this session of the College Football Association convention.

I would like to divide my comments into different segments, starting with some general thoughts related to the status of college football television, then describing the NCAA football TV committee's consideration of the various viewpoints presented to the committee and, finally, speaking to the features of the 1982-1983 NCAA football television principles and program as adopted by the NCAA membership in the recently concluded referendum.

Incidentally, the features of the 1982-1983 NCAA football TV program were the unanimous recommendations of the Football Television Committee, on which six members represent institutions and conferences which are affiliated with the CFA.

General

It is not my intention here to debate certain positions taken by the CFA leadership in mailings to its members. I am referring to the recommendation that CFA members abstain with notice by forwarding the so-called "legal reservation" language in explanation of the abstention.

Also, I gather there has been some concern about the authority of the NCAA to control television in the first place. The NCAA Council has fully documented its position on both of these issues; and it is not my job, as chair of the committee, to represent the Council.

Consequently, all I would say is that the recently completed referendum represented the 19th time in the last 30 years in which the NCAA membership has acted upon comparable television policies and principles, and the referendums have been conducted in almost identical fashion these 19 times. To my knowledge, during the last two decades or so, there never has been a question raised as to the procedure or its legality under Association policy.

The 1982-1983 principles are no longer proposals of the TV committee, of course, but have been adopted as Association requirements; and member institutions of the NCAA are obligated to observe these policies.

I would comment on a general statement attributed to CFA leaders in the media. This

concerns a critical comment that the NCAA football TV policies should be subject to a closer scrutiny through personal debate and examination at a meeting of the membership. We all know that could be arranged quite easily in discussion sessions at the annual NCAA Convention. For that matter, certain basic football TV control principles could be placed on the Convention floor in legislative form.

Whereas there was extensive Convention debate in the earlier years on the NCAA football television policy, that was discontinued because of the widespread acceptance of the program within the NCAA membership and the expressed feelings of representatives of member institutions that it would be better not to legislate on the NCAA Convention floor on complex, detailed television matters.

The position of previous chairs of this committee has been similar, I believe, to those experts in football rules and basketball rules who have argued against Convention legislation on the details of the playing rules. It always has seemed to me that the details of NCAA football TV administration are just as complicated as the playing rules and should not be legislated by a large body. My main point, however, is that there would be little difficulty in changing that procedure either for discussion or legislative purposes.

One other comment of a general nature:

I think as television grows and diversifies, we all should recognize that when the CFA leadership talks of a separate and distinct TV program by CFA members, that merely introduces the real issue. The central issue raised by certain CFA representations is whether we are going to have football television controls at all. With the financial pressures placed upon all institutions of higher education—and specifically the monetary demands being placed upon the athletic programs at major institutions as a result of inflation and Title IX—seldom has there been more attention paid in higher education to the financial aspects of intercollegiate athletics. Today, and certainly in the future, it will take a very strong organization to control football television if that is what the institutions of higher education want.

In my view, the CFA, as an organization, has neither the power nor the administrative procedures necessary for this project. For example, if an appearance rule were adopted by the CFA membership—assuming in this example that the organic documents of the CFA provide for

Continued on page 3

Columnary Craft

Reprinted below is an excerpt from the writing of a news columnist commenting pertinently about intercollegiate athletics. It is selected because the NCAA News feels it makes a point and discusses a topic that will interest readers. Publication herein, however, does not imply NCAA News endorsement of the views expressed by the author.

Is there hope for recruiting?

By Jerry Lindquist
Richmond Times-Dispatch

We'll leave the analysis of this year's high school crop for someone with far more insight, or a better crystal ball. Maybe the tea leaves will provide some answers. There will be no attempt to play swami here.

Instead, we'll talk about recruiting per se, and how some coaches—like Richmond's Dal Shealy, Virginia's Dick Bestwick and William and Mary's Jimmy Laycock—perceive it. How has recruiting changed over the years? Can it be enjoyable, even in the most remote, subliminal sense?

A coach must keep his sensors razor-sharp, extremely keen at all times during the recruiting process. He must read his subjects, just as they must read him. It's a sort of cat-and-mouse game. You impress me, and I'll impress you.

"With a limited number of visits, you don't get to know the prospects as well," Bestwick said. "That's one reason why there seem to be so many problems with athletes popping up these days. It's not a very wholesome situation now . . . but the potential for good is there."

Like Shealy, Bestwick, always outspoken on the subjects of cheating and lack of academic integrity when it comes to recruiting athletes, recognizes the abuses but remains hard-pressed to do anything about them. He keeps his own house in order. Has he turned in another coach (or school) for recruiting violations?

"No, but I've called people. 'Hey, I understand this is happening, and you can't let that happen. Clean it up, or I'll call [the NCAA],'" Bestwick said. "I'm the sort of person who believes in confronting someone myself."

"There's got to be a better way of doing it [recruiting]," Shealy continued. "It's a wearisome, never-ending process. Even when you go on vacation there's a tendency to check the local high school. More staff meeting time is wasted on recruiting, and for what? There's a very thin line, who to take and who not to take. What do you base your final decision on?"

" . . . and then there are the alumni. 'I can't believe you let that guy get away.' You can't tell them he isn't good enough. You don't want to hurt their feelings. Or, he doesn't have the grades. We can't get him in school. You never can please everybody."

Laycock knows all about that. How has recruiting changed during the past few years? Remember, Laycock came to William and Mary after serving on the Clemson staff. "The biggest thing is the exposure recruiting has gotten . . . who the top prospects are. A lot of people know who the top prospects are now," he said, "and that makes it tougher justifying why you didn't get some of them."

All three—Shealy, Bestwick, and Laycock—mentioned contacts made with people (parents and recruits) a cut above average. "The heartache comes when you meet special families, players you'd love to have in your program because of the kind of people they are—if I had a son, etc.—and they opt to go somewhere else," Shealy said.

"I enjoyed it when I first started in the business," Bestwick said, ". . . and I still meet some fine people . . . [but] when I was an assistant coach, I was more involved."

At William and Mary, where academic requirements are so incredibly stiff, thereby limiting the number of potential recruits as compared with most of his rivals, Laycock would seem to have every reason to be more than a little cynical about the process. And frustrated. Occasionally, he is.

He also talked about "the challenge on our level . . . getting a kid maybe you shouldn't have gotten, how really good it makes you feel."

You've heard some of the horror stories, how prospects (and parents) want to know about cars and clothes and money, and how some schools are willing to give it to them. Laycock tells a more hopeful tale. Seems he was after a youngster from Nashville, and he didn't know where he wanted to go to school. He needed something to help make his decision, but what?

"Then his parents asked me what type of kids he'd be around if he came to William and Mary," Laycock said. "Fortunately, I had with me a list of our recruits, their grades and class standing. I showed it to the parents. That's all it took. He signed with us."

**NCAA
NEWS**

Editor **David Pickle**
Assistant Editor **Bruce Howard**

Published by the National Collegiate Athletic Association, Nall Avenue at 63rd Street, P.O. Box 1906, Shawnee Mission, Kansas 66222. Phone: 913/384-3220. Subscription rate: \$9 annually.

The editorial page of the NCAA News is offered as a page of opinion. The views expressed on this page do not necessarily represent a consensus of the NCAA membership.

Hallock speech to CFA

Continued from page 2

such legislative discipline—what sanctions are available to the CFA if an institution decides to breach that appearance rule and obtain an additional \$1 million? There must be at hand a discipline of sufficient authority that the restraint affects enough of the institution's interests in a variety of ways so that it will not violate a rule in a particular area.

Further, I certainly do not believe that conferences acting in concert have the disciplinary authority to control such happenings.

I cite this consideration because I think it is fundamental to any thinking upon football controls in the future. The central question is: In the best interests of college football, should we continue these time-tested controls in one form or the other? If the answer is in the affirmative, then I submit this to you in the most simple terms possible: There is only one athletic or educational organization in this nation that is constructed to handle such an assignment and have the force and authority behind it to successfully complete the assignment, and that organization is the NCAA. If the answer is in the negative, then the appropriate proposal to remove these controls should be submitted to the NCAA Convention and, if successful, each institution then will sell its football TV rights unrestricted and to the highest bidder without regard to the equities or interests of other football-playing colleges.

Suggestions of CFA leadership and TV study committee

The NCAA football TV committee gave extensive consideration to the viewpoint of the CFA leadership and CFA TV study committee during the several meetings our committee held in formulating the 1982-1983 football TV program. CFA representatives made two appearances before the NCAA committee and, on those occasions, spoke only in general terms concerning future TV planning. Subsequently, the recommended CFA football TV plan became available to the NCAA committee, and the committee reviewed the recommended CFA program paragraph by paragraph.

I want to assure you that the NCAA Football Television Committee has been careful to examine in detail all proposals and recommendations which have come to it from the membership.

As to the survey the television committee undertook of the NCAA membership, the results showed substantial satisfaction with the present status of the NCAA football TV controls. Results of that survey were presented in detail in the March 31 NCAA News, and I won't review that data at this time other than to say that a breakdown of the CFA institutions which responded paralleled most closely the reaction of the NCAA membership in general.

We had extensive informa-

tion before us from written and oral presentations by a great many segments of the NCAA membership, as well as virtually all segments of the TV industry. Considering the makeup of this meeting, I imagine you would be interested in some commentary as to the considerations given to suggestions and recommendations from the CFA leadership by the NCAA football TV committee.

Among the general questions raised by the CFA delegations which appeared before the TV committee were these:

1. Who votes on the NCAA TV plan? The implication was that nonfootball-playing members should not be permitted to vote. That suggestion has been forwarded to the Association's Council for possible proposed legislation. Any group of NCAA members, of course, is free to introduce implementing legislation.

Historically, the effect of votes by nonfootball-playing NCAA members has been inconsequential.

2. A question was raised as to the membership of the NCAA Football Television Committee since it was the body which actually develops the principles and handles the negotiations. We took no action on this particular question. The committee is composed of 14 members. Ten of them (or 71 percent) represent Division I-A institutions, and, of the total number, six are attached to institutions or conferences which are members of the CFA. That represents 43 percent of the overall committee membership and 60 percent of the Division I membership.

3. The question was raised as to whether the appearance rules should be relaxed or in effect eliminated so that the very best game each week would be televised. The NCAA committee does not agree with this proposition and noted that members of the CFA delegation which appeared before the committee disagreed with this suggestion.

4. Are the so-called support programs currently in the NCAA football television plan necessary or justifiable? The NCAA committee believes that the support programs related to football certainly are justifiable. We all should bear in mind that 98.6 percent of the 2,417 games conducted annually by NCAA members are played Saturday, with 75.4 percent, or 1,823 games, played Saturday afternoon. These are 1980 figures.

The NCAA committee noted the CFA's support for the present eight percent assessment, 3½ percent of which is earmarked for the NCAA transportation fund for men's and women's championships.

5. It was suggested that the NCAA TV committee should consider again a two-network approach, which the 1977 NCAA Television Committee came very close to implementing. This concept has been under active consideration by NCAA TV committees for some five years, and the two-

network approach has been made a part of the approved 1982-1983 program.

6. There was concern expressed about the TV ratings. The NCAA football TV committee has amassed extensive information on this question; we believe the CFA TV study committee may not have analyzed the problem in sufficient depth, and our committee does not believe that the CFA TV study committee's suggestions as to how the ratings could be improved would have the anticipated effect upon the ratings. Further, in some instances the suggestions (to reduce the number of exposures and relax the appearance limits) run contrary to recommendations from members of the delegation of the CFA which appeared before the committee.

During the CFA oral presentations to the NCAA committee, these points were made by individual members of the CFA group:

a. A college's exposure on the NCAA football TV series is more valuable than the money.

b. There should be increased regionalization.

c. There should be a limitation on the area of release of regional games which, in some instances, go to a large part of the country. The point was made that regionalization should be just that and regionalization should concentrate on the normal conference areas.

d. The appearance rule should not be altered in any appreciable manner. The NCAA committee noted that at its meeting of February 4-6, the CFA committee chair stated that the CFA was not recommending a relaxation of the appearance limits.

Following the presentations made by the CFA TV study committee at the two meetings I have noted, the NCAA committee reviewed an "Outline of Possible CFA TV Plan" as had been drafted December 30, 1980, and apparently distributed to the CFA management group during the NCAA convention at Miami Beach. Subsequently, at the NCAA football TV committee meeting of March 29-30, the football TV committee reviewed section by section the "Proposed CFA Television Plan" dated March 5, 1981.

The NCAA committee's reaction to the several features of the proposed plan follows:

a. It noted the similarity in language in a number of parts of the proposed CFA plan as compared to past NCAA plans.

b. It expressed concern as to some of the legal ramifications of the plan since it appeared that the CFA would negotiate the overall price and would assign the amount of money to be paid for national games and regional games.

The NCAA committee did not adopt these suggestions as a part of the NCAA approach for a number of reasons. First, we believe they have rather

serious legal implications, compounded by the fact that the CFA plan is not an overall approach to protect the interests of most colleges playing football. Instead, it is a narrower approach by a limited group of colleges to maximize dollar income and assign through a central agency the rights for the respective games.

Further, we assumed that the CFA was born in protest, at least in part, against share-the-wealth proposals which would directly divide football TV income for payment to those institutions which are not televised on the national series. The NCAA football TV committee has traditionally opposed such concepts. The recommended CFA plan seems to propose just that—an added assessment of an estimated 10 percent for such distribution.

c. The proposed CFA plan would reduce the number of exposures from the present 23 in the current NCAA plan to 20; and, allowing for the most favorable CFA regional/national exposure ratio, the plan would accommodate 40 games in those 20 exposures. This reduces the number of exposures by 13 percent and cuts the number of team appearances by a total of 36 or 31 percent. By loosening the appearance rule to six appearances in two years, with four in any one year, the CFA plan also would reduce in considerable degree the access for the middle strata of the CFA membership and indeed the middle strata of the NCAA Division I-A membership to realize television appearances on the national series.

d. The CFA plan was vague on provisions for sellout and 400-mile exception telecasts and a substantial number of other features which are essential to an administration of a comprehensive television program.

It probably should be noted that the recommended appearance limits of the CFA (six in two years; four in any one year) are a substantial loosening over the present rule of four appearances in two years, with a fifth appearance in certain specialized situations. The move to two networks in the 1982-1983 NCAA program does include a loosening of the appearance rule to five appearances in two years, which essentially means that the appearance previously reserved for special exemption dates is made available to everyone at any time.

This is considerably more demanding than the CFA proposal and should be if we want to distribute in an equitable fashion the additional TV appearances brought about by the two-network NCAA program. The importance of appearances to CFA member institutions was emphasized in both the NCAA survey and in personal appearances made by CFA representatives and by all others with whom we met.

Now, I would like to move to address certain features of the

1982-1983 NCAA program, but before doing so, I want to compliment the CFA representatives who appeared before the NCAA TV committee because they certainly did induce considerable analysis by the committee. It was important for us to review the different ideas they expressed, some of which we believed had merit as indicated.

1982-1983 NCAA program

Now, as to the 1982-1983 NCAA program, and the stated interest in a better understanding of the NCAA's football television policies beyond the 1981 season, I shall proceed on the basis that several of the principles, which form the basic elements of the 1982-1983 plan, merit additional explanation beyond that provided in the mailing to the NCAA membership April 21.

The committee has been working on this program for more than a year. We believe it will serve college football well for the next two years. The membership strongly endorsed the primary features of the current plan in the survey the committee conducted in February; proceeding on the basis of that advice, the football TV committee believes it has improved upon the current plan.

The most dramatic change will be the incorporation of two networks into college football's television program. The committee believes that ABC-TV has done an outstanding job for college football. The decision to move to two networks should in no way be interpreted as a criticism of ABC. It seems clear, however, that the present package of 23 exposures is more than one network can effectively and comfortably handle.

The competitive nature of the networks being what it is, we believe that college football will benefit from creating conditions where two networks will be competing for better ratings and critical acclaim. Not only will we see this at the national level, but in each market in the nation the affiliate of the second network will be more interested in college football and more receptive to stories your institution will attempt to place with its sports director.

[Mr. Hallock reviewed in detail the features of the 1982-1983 NCAA principles.]

As indicated previously, the NCAA TV committee has compiled and analyzed an extensive amount of data, particularly in the area of ratings—i.e., comparative ratings between and among different college games and comparative ratings between and among different sports packages. I have not touched upon these analyses, not because I am not prepared to do so, but rather because of an already-long presentation on my part and the complexities of the subject.

Thank you for the opportunity to better acquaint you with the plans of the NCAA Football Television Committee for the early 1980s.

Plans formulated for women's championships

EDITOR'S NOTE: Information regarding several NCAA women's championships that will be implemented in the 1981-82 academic year has been sent to the membership.

The following represents a condensation of the information contained in the mailing. Details on additional sports will appear in the July 15 issue of the NCAA News.

For more information, contact Ruth M. Berkey, director of women's championships, at the national office.

Division I Women's Basketball

Information regarding the NCAA Division I Women's Basketball Championship was sent to the primary woman administrator at each NCAA member institution on April 13, 1981. Subsequently, the NCAA Division I Women's Basketball Committee has recommended and the NCAA Executive Committee has approved the following clarifications concerning the championship format. The following information represents clarification of material distributed earlier and is not intended as a replacement:

1. There shall be no restriction as to the number of teams selected from one conference on at-large basis.

2. Teams may be assigned to any position in the bracket.

3. Teams shall participate in the championship as a result of automatic qualification or as at-large entries.

4. The committee shall select the best available at-large teams, regardless of geographical location.

Division I Women's Cross Country

1. **Districts.** For the purpose of selecting teams and individuals to participate in the NCAA Division I Women's Cross Country Championships, the committee will use the eight-district NCAA structure, which includes a total of 171 Division I institutions.

2. **Championship Format.** The 1981 NCAA Division I Women's Cross Country Championships provide for a field of at least eight teams and the top individuals in each of the eight districts. The committee may award additional at-large team berths, contingent upon the number of NCAA member institutions that choose to participate in the championships. The top team in each of the eight districts will receive an automatic berth in the championship.

3. **Entry Deadline.** Entries for the district cross country competition must be postmarked by October 26, 1981, and sent by registered mail. No late entries will be accepted. Final declaration date for district competition is Friday, November 6. Final declaration date for the national championships is Friday, November 20.

Division I Women's Volleyball

1. **Regions.** For the purpose of selecting teams to participate in the NCAA Division I Women's Volleyball Championship, the committee divided the country into four regions. Each region was established based on the total number of institutions that sponsor women's intercollegiate volleyball in Division I (220) and the strength of the sport throughout the country as determined by performance in previous championship competition. The four regions are Mideast, South, Southwest and Northwest.

2. **Championship Format.** The NCAA Division I Women's Volleyball Championship provides for a field of 24 teams, to be

divided into four regional tournaments. (However, the size of the field may change, contingent upon the number of institutions that declare their intention to compete in the championship.) The top 12 teams will be seeded and the remaining teams placed in the bracket.

Regional tournaments will be single-elimination, best-three-of-five-game matches. The semifinals and championship will be single-elimination, best-three-of-five-game matches.

The committee will make determinations regarding automatic qualification at its July meeting. At present, the committee endorses the concept of automatic qualification pending receipt of additional information from conferences. Applications will be reviewed by the committee, and recommendations regarding automatic qualification for selected allied conferences will be forwarded to the Executive Committee for consideration in August.

3. **Team Selection.** All teams will be selected by the committee on the basis of the following criteria (not in preferential order): (1) won-lost record, (2) strength of schedule and (3) eligibility of student-athletes for postseason competition.

The committee will select and seed teams during a conference call Monday, November 30, at 10 a.m. CST. Teams will be notified of their selection no later than Tuesday, December 1, 1981.

Division II Women's Cross Country

1. **Regions.** For the purpose of selecting teams and individuals to participate in the NCAA Division II Women's Cross Country Championships, the committee divided the country into six regions. Each region was determined on the basis of the total number of NCAA member institutions that sponsor women's intercollegiate cross country in Division II (80) and the strength of the sport throughout the country. The six regions are: Northeast, Southeast, Great Lakes, North Central, South Central and West.

2. **Championship Format.** The 1981 NCAA Division II Women's Cross Country Championships provide for a field of at least six teams and the top individuals in each of the six regions. The committee may award additional at-large team berths, contingent upon the number of NCAA member institutions that choose to participate in the championships. The top team in each of the six regions will receive an automatic berth in the championships.

3. **Entry Deadline.** Entries for the regional cross country competition must be postmarked by October 26, 1981, and sent by registered mail. No late entries will be accepted. Final declaration date for regional competition is Friday, November 6. Final declaration date for the national championships is Friday, November 20, 1981.

Division II Women's Volleyball

Information regarding the NCAA Division II Women's Volleyball Championship was sent to the primary woman administrator at each NCAA member institution April 13, 1981. Subsequently, the NCAA Division II Women's Volleyball Committee has recommended and the NCAA Executive Committee has approved changes or additions to the following areas: championship seeding, rules of competition and automatic qualification.

The following information represents final committee action and supersedes any information previously distributed:

1. **Dates.** Regional matches will

1981-82 NCAA women's championships

Division I

Basketball

First-round games: March 12-14, 1982
Regionals: March 18-21, 1982
Semifinals and championship: March 26-28, 1982

Cross Country

Regionals: November 7, 1981
Championships: November 21, 1981

Fencing

To be determined. [Division I, Division II and Division III institutions are eligible for the National Collegiate Championships.]

Field Hockey

First-round games: November 14-15, 1981
Semifinals and championships: November 21-22, 1981

Golf

To be determined. [Division I, Division II and Division III institutions are eligible for the National Collegiate Championships.]

Gymnastics

Regionals: March 12-13, 1982
Championships: March 26-27, 1982

Lacrosse

To be determined. [Division I, Division II and Division III institutions are eligible for the National Collegiate Championship.]

Softball

To be determined.

Swimming and Diving

Zone diving qualifying meets: March 12-13, 1982
Championships: March 18-20, 1982

Tennis

To be determined.

Track and Field

June 1-5, 1982

Volleyball

First-round matches: December 4-6, 1981
Regionals: December 11-13, 1981
Semifinals and championship: December 18-20, 1981

Division II

Basketball

Regionals: March 4-6, 1982
Quarterfinals: March 12-13, 1982
Semifinals and championship: March 19-20, 1982

Cross Country

Regionals: November 7, 1981
Championships: November 21, 1981

Fencing

To be determined. [Division I, Division II and Division III institutions are eligible for the National Collegiate Championships.]

Field Hockey

First round and semifinals: November 14-15, 1981
Championship: November 22, 1981

Golf

To be determined. [Division I, Division II and Division III institutions are eligible for the National Collegiate Championships.]

Gymnastics

Regionals: March 12-13, 1982

Championships: March 25-27, 1982
[Both Division II and Division III institutions are eligible to compete in the NCAA Division II Women's Gymnastics Championships.]

Lacrosse

To be determined. [Division I, Division II and Division III institutions are eligible for the National Collegiate Championship.]

Softball

To be determined.

Swimming and Diving

March 11-13, 1982

Tennis

Team championship: May 17-18, 1982
Individual championships: May 19-22, 1982

Track and Field

May 25-29, 1982

Volleyball

Regionals: December 11-12, 1981
Semifinals and championship: December 18-19, 1981

Division III

Basketball

Regionals: March 4-6, 1982
Quarterfinals: March 12-13, 1982
Semifinals and championship: March 19-20, 1982

Cross Country

Regionals: November 7, 1981
Championships: November 21, 1981

Fencing

To be determined. [Division I, Division II and Division III institutions are eligible for the National Collegiate Championships.]

Field Hockey

First round and quarterfinals: November 14-15, 1981
Semifinals and championship: November 21-22, 1981

Golf

To be determined. [Division I, Division II and Division III institutions are eligible for the National Collegiate Championships.]

Gymnastics

Regionals: March 12-13, 1982
Championships: March 25-27, 1982
[Both Division II and Division III institutions are eligible to compete in the NCAA Division II Women's Gymnastics Championships.]

Lacrosse

To be determined. [Division I, Division II and Division III institutions are eligible for the National Collegiate Championship.]

Softball

To be determined.

Swimming and Diving

March 11-13, 1982

Tennis

Team championship: May 17-18, 1982
Individual championships: May 19-22, 1982

Track and Field

May 25-29, 1982

Volleyball

Regionals: December 11-12, 1981
Semifinals and championship: December 18-19, 1981

be played December 11-12. The semifinal and championship matches will be played December 18-19.

2. **Regions.** For the purpose of selecting teams to participate in the NCAA Division II Women's Volleyball Championship, the committee divided the country into eight regions. Each region was established based on the total number of NCAA member institutions that sponsor women's intercollegiate volleyball in Division II (155) and the strength of the sport throughout the country. The eight regions are East, New England, South Atlantic, South, South Central, Great Lakes, Central and West.

3. **Championship Format.** The NCAA Division II Women's Volleyball Championship provides for a field of 16 teams, to be divided into four regional tournaments. (However, the size of the field may change, contingent upon the number of institutions that declare their intention to compete in the championship.) Each of the eight regions will be

awarded one berth; the remaining eight teams will be selected at large. The top eight teams will be seeded and the remaining teams placed in the bracket. The final four teams will be reseeded for the semifinal and championship matches.

Regional tournaments will be double-elimination, best-three-of-five-game matches. The semifinals and championship will be single-elimination, best-three-of-five-game matches.

Allied conferences may apply to the committee for automatic qualification to the championship.

4. **Team Selection.** Teams will be selected by the committee on the basis of the following criteria (not in preferential order): (1) won-lost record, (2) strength of schedule and (3) eligibility of student-athletes for postseason competition.

The committee will select and seed teams during a conference call Monday, November 30. Teams will be notified of their selection no later than Tuesday,

December 1, 1981.

5. **Rules.** The 1981 NCAA Division II Women's Volleyball Championship will be conducted according to the United States Volleyball Association Rules with the following exceptions for collegiate competition: (1) 12 substitutions, including three entries, are allowed; (2) coaching from the sideline is allowed as long as it is not disruptive, and (3) three persons are allowed on the court during timeouts.

Division III Women's Cross Country

1. **Regions.** For the purpose of selecting teams and individuals to participate in the NCAA Division III Women's Cross Country Championships, the committee divided the country into seven regions. Each region was determined on the basis of the total number of NCAA member institutions that sponsor women's intercollegiate cross country in Division III and the strength of the sport throughout the country.

Continued on page 5

Women's championships

Continued from page 4

The seven regions are: Northeast, New York, Mideast, Southeast/South, Great Lakes, Midwest and West.

2. Championship Format. The 1981 NCAA Division III Women's Cross Country Championships provide for a field of at least seven teams and the top individuals in each of the seven regions. The committee may award additional at-large team berths, contingent upon the number of NCAA member institutions that choose to participate in the championships. The top team in each of the six regions will receive an automatic berth in the championships.

3. Entry Deadline. Entries for the regional cross country competition must be postmarked by October 26, 1981, and sent by registered mail. No late entries will be accepted. Final declaration date

for regional competition is Friday, November 6. Final declaration date for the national championships is Friday, November 20, 1981.

Division III Women's Volleyball

Information regarding the NCAA Division III Women's Volleyball Championship was sent to the primary woman administrator at each NCAA member institution April 13, 1981. Since that time, the NCAA Division III Women's Volleyball Committee has recommended and the Executive Committee has approved changes in or additions in several areas.

The following information represents final committee action and supersedes any information previously distributed:

1. Dates. Regional matches will be played December 11-12. The

semifinal and championship matches will be played December 18-19.

2. Regions. For the purpose of selecting teams to participate in the NCAA Division III Women's Volleyball Championship, the committee divided the country into eight regions. Each region was determined on the basis of the total number of NCAA member institutions that sponsor women's intercollegiate volleyball in Division III (196) and the strength of the sport throughout the country. The eight regions are East, Northeast, Middle Atlantic, South, Great Lakes, Midwest, Central and West.

3. Championship Format. The NCAA Division III Women's Volleyball Championship provides for a field of 24 teams, to be divided into four regional tournaments of six teams each.

(However, the size of the field may change, contingent upon the number of institutions that declare their intention to compete in the championship.) Each of the eight regions will be awarded one berth; the remaining 16 teams will be selected at large. The top 12 teams will be seeded and the remaining teams placed in the bracket. The final four teams will be reseeded for the semifinal and championship matches.

Regional tournaments will be single-elimination, best-three-of-five-game matches. The semifinals and championship will be single-elimination, best-three-of-five-game matches. The top two teams in each region will receive byes.

Allied conferences may apply to the committee for automatic qualification to the championship.

Basketball leagues are approved

A total of 67 summer basketball leagues have been approved thus far by the NCAA officers.

Constitution 3-9-(b)-(1) provides that a student-athlete may participate, during the period between June 15 and August 31, on a team in a basketball league approved by the NCAA Council. The student-athlete first must obtain written permission from his institution's director of athletics (or his representative) to participate in an approved league. That permission must specify the particular league in which the student-athlete is authorized to compete.

The first 35 approved leagues were listed in the May 15 issue of the NCAA News.

Following is a list of the remaining leagues that have been approved to date by the NCAA officers, acting for the Association's Council:

- USA Basketball Development Summer Leagues, College Men's Division; Los Angeles, California
- Red Shield Summer Basketball League, Unlimited Division, Denver, Colorado
- Summer Neighborhood Basketball League; New Haven, Connecticut
- Greater Hartford Summer Basketball League; West Hartford, Connecticut
- Bob Love Summer Basketball League; Chicago, Illinois
- Viking Summer Basketball League; Chicago, Illinois
- Central Illinois Collegiate Basketball League; Decatur, Illinois
- St. Cecelia College Division Summer Basketball League; Detroit, Michigan
- YMCA Summer Basketball League; Reno, Nevada
- Ocean Summer Basketball League; Seaside Park, New Jersey
- Hobbs City League Basketball; Hobbs, New Mexico
- Randy Smith Summer Classic Developmental Basketball League; Buffalo, New York
- Elmcor/Pro Keds Metro Neighborhood Basketball League; East Elmhurst, New York
- Centennial Park Summer Basketball League; Roosevelt, New York
- Utica Recreation League; Utica, New York
- Chagrin Summer Basketball League; Beachwood, Ohio
- Cincinnati Recreation Summer Basketball League; Cincinnati, Ohio
- West Carrollton Summer Basketball League; Kettering, Ohio
- Catasauqua Playground A League; Catasauqua, Pennsylvania
- Lower Mayfair Department of Recreation Summer Basketball League; Philadelphia, Pennsylvania
- Pollock Senior Basketball League; Philadelphia, Pennsylvania
- Homewood Brushton YMCA Summer Basketball League; Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania
- Sharpsburg Summer Basketball League; Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania
- Antietam Summer Basketball League; Reading, Pennsylvania
- Cranston Recreation Summer Basketball League; Cranston, Rhode Island
- Middleton Summer Basketball League; Charleston, South Carolina
- Woodstock Summer Basketball League; Woodstock, Vermont
- Roanoke City Department of Parks Summer Basketball League; Roanoke, Virginia
- BelleVue Nike League; Kirkland, Washington
- Richland Summer Basketball League; Richland, Washington
- Beloit College Basketball League; Beloit, Wisconsin
- Hart Park Basketball League; Wauwatosa, Wisconsin

Steering committees

Continued from page 1

on a special subcommittee consisting of women familiar with the other organization's legislation.

Steering committees

In a joint meeting of the Divisions I, II and III Steering Committees, Secretary-Treasurer John L. Toner presented a progress report on the work of the Special Committee on Legislative Review and Council member John R. Davis discussed the status of the work of the Governmental Affairs Committee.

Meeting separately, all three steering committees heard reports by Ruth M. Berkey, director of women's championships, on the progress of the 1981-82 women's championships and discussed various other matters regarding women's athletics.

The three committees reviewed preliminary plans for meetings of selected chief executive officers of institutions from all three divisions September 28-29 in Kansas City. They also considered several matters referred by the Council and will submit recommendations regarding those items to the August Council meeting.

In addition to the common agenda items, each committee considered various matters pertaining to its own division.

Division I

The Division I Steering Committee, chaired by Joseph R. Geraud, University of Wyoming, reviewed preliminary reports by the Academic Testing and Requirements Committee and the Recruiting Committee

and discussed proposed legislation for the 1982 Convention.

The committee also reviewed all Division I membership criteria and compliance dates, as well as developments regarding NCAA football and basketball television contracts.

Division I committee members did not favor a proposal to abandon the Association's eight-district structure in favor of establishment of four regions, differing by division.

Division II

Chaired by Aldo A. Sebben, Southwest Missouri State University, the Division II Steering Committee voted to recommend elimination of sharing net receipts of Division II championships among the participating institutions in those championships, instead placing those moneys in the Division II reserve fund to defray the costs of men's and women's travel to Division II championships. Division III adopted a similar position last year.

The committee also asked that a survey of the Division II membership be conducted to determine its interest in revising the division's current sports-sponsorship requirement. The members will be asked if they want to reduce the current requirement (which applies only to men) from six to either five or four, if they wish to adopt a companion requirement for women's programs (effective in 1985) or if they wish to preserve the present criterion.

Another key committee decision was to recommend that

the Council sponsor legislation to require Division II members to apply regular-season eligibility rules as least as demanding as those in NCAA Bylaw 5-1, a criterion adopted earlier by both of the other divisions.

Noting that the Executive Committee has voted to make Divisions II and III championships terminal beginning in 1982-83 by eliminating Executive Regulation 2-3-(c), the committee was evenly divided (5-5) in a straw vote on that issue and agreed to discuss it further in October.

The committee voted to recommend that Division II championships be officiated by individuals who officiate at the Division II level in the regular season.

Division II members unanimously favored the proposal to establish geographic regions and discontinue the current districts.

The committee also favors legislation to liberalize the five-year rule in Division II by permitting four seasons of eligibility in a sport during the student-athlete's first five years of residency (rather than calendar years after first enrollment). Decisions regarding the effect of organized competition while not enrolled and other possible exceptions will be made in the committee's October meeting.

All Division II membership criteria were reviewed by the committee, which noted that every Division II member institution must be in compliance with all four of the division's criteria in the 1981-82 academic year.

Division III

The Division III Steering Committee, chaired by Donald M. Russell, Wesleyan University, favored a liberalization of the five-year rule comparable to that supported by the Division II committee. The committee will consider alternatives in that regard in October.

The committee will recommend to the Executive Committee that a team tennis championship similar to that conducted in Division I be established in Division III and that the Men's Tennis Committee be asked to recommend a specific format.

Members of the steering committee noted that a number of institutions are in

favor of a maximum enrollment criterion of Division III membership, but only one proposal has been submitted with sufficient sponsorship to be offered at the 1982 Convention. That amendment would limit Division III membership to institutions with 3,500 or less undergraduate enrollment (men and women).

In that regard, the committee analyzed data on the enrollments of division members and the enrollment of those institutions winning Division III championships in the past five years, noting that those with larger enrollments do not appear to dominate the championships. The committee asked for additional data of that nature.

The committee believed the enrollment premise is not a proper membership criterion in as much as Division III status is based on a philosophical commitment that has no relationship to the size of the institution. The committee specifically voted to oppose creation of a new division or a new subdivision within Division III, as suggested by some institutions, and agreed that the major classification problem in Division III is the Division I institution placing its football program in Division III. The committee will discuss that matter later in the year.

Division III committee members discussed terminal championships in Division III and postponed a decision regarding support for that action until October.

The committee also will ask that a combined men's and women's Division III indoor track championship be proposed at the 1982 Convention and conducted in 1982.

Future NCAA meetings

July 10-14—Division I Men's Basketball Committee, Cape Cod, Massachusetts.

July 15-17—Men's and Women's Tennis Committees, Hilton Head, South Carolina.

July 19-22—Women's Golf Committee.

July 20-22—Men's Golf Committee.

July 21-23—Men's Rifle Committee.

July 22-24—Football Television Committee, Newport, Rhode Island.

August 9—Subcommittee on Championships Standards, Glendon Beach, Oregon.

August 10-11—Executive Committee, Glendon Beach, Oregon.

August 12-14—Council, Glendon Beach, Oregon.

August 19-21—Committee on Infractions, Itasca, Illinois.

Smith joins NCAA staff

Cynthia L. Smith has been selected as an assistant director of championships and will begin her duties on the NCAA staff June 30.

Smith has an extensive background in several sports, having coached swimming, volleyball and track and field. She also participated in college volleyball and golf.

From 1976 until joining the NCAA staff, Smith served on the staff of the Fellowship of Christian Athletes, where she instituted that organization's women's program. Before then, Smith coached at Shawnee Mission (Kansas) South High

School.

Smith has run competitively and completed the 1981 Boston Marathon.

She earned her undergraduate degree in physical education from the University of Missouri, Columbia, in 1971 and gained a master's degree from Missouri in counseling in 1974.

Smith, Patricia E. Bork and Patricia W. Wall will work with Ruth M. Berkey, director of women's championships, in implementing the 29 new NCAA women's championships that will begin with the 1981-82 academic year.

BYU takes golf title

Brigham Young shot a four-under-par 280 in the second round and held on to win its first title at the 1981 Division I Men's Golf Championships in Stanford, California.

The Cougars trailed eventual runner-up Oral Roberts by eight strokes after 18 holes, but Brigham Young gained 14 shots in the second round as Oral Roberts posted a 10-over-par 294.

Richard Zokol led Brigham Young golfers with a four-over-par 288 on the strength of a 66 in the second round. Other Cougar team members were Keith Clearwater (289), Richard Fehr (290), David DeSantis (299) and Barry Willardson (302). Zokol's five-under-par 66 was the low round of the tournament.

Defending champion Oklahoma State recorded the best closing round (287), but the Cowboys could never recover from their opening round of 297.

Southern California's Ron Commans shot a two-under-par 69 in the final round to edge Jay Don Blake of Utah State and Ed Luethke of Fresno State for the individual championship. Blake, last year's individual champion, took a five-shot lead into the final round but finished with a five-over-par 76.

Top individuals: 1. Ron Commans, Southern California, 71-72-71-69—283; 2. (tie) Jay Don Blake, Utah State, 70-69-69-76, and Ed Luethke, Fresno State, 73-69-73-69—284; 4. Robert Wrenn, Wake Forest, 73-72-70-70—285; 5. (tie) Grant Turner, Florida State, 75-71-68-73—287; Tommy Armour, New Mexico, 72-71-70-74—287, and Bob Tway, Oklahoma State, 73-70-74-70—287; 8. (tie) Charlie Bolling, Duke, 78-69-71-70—288, and Richard Zokol, Brigham Young, 76-66-72-74—288; 10. (tie) Kirk Jones, Centenary, 75-68-73-73—289; Keith Clearwater, Brigham Young, 73-71-75-70—289; Steve Jones, Colorado, 75-71-71-72—289; Jim Kane, Oral Roberts, 73-71-74-71—289, and Ray Barr, Houston, 71-73-72-73—289.

Team results: 1. Brigham Young, 1,161; 2. Oral Roberts, 1,163; 3. Houston, 1,170; 4. Oklahoma State, 1,171; 5. Arizona State, 1,172; 6. Georgia, 1,176; 7. (tie) New Mexico and Tennessee, 1,180; 9. Wake Forest, 1,182; 10. Texas A&M, 1,183.



Oral Roberts' Joe Rassett

Tim Davis

lead after firing a 295 in the first round; however, the Cougars quickly faded from contention after shooting 320 in the second round.

Columbus, which won titles in 1978 and 1980 and tied for runner-up honors with Florida Southern in 1979, slipped to seventh this year. Northridge State, which tied for third with Troy State, remains the all-time Division II golf leader with three team titles.

Patri won the individual title by five strokes, despite shooting a six-over-par 78 in the final round. Paul Perini, last year's medalist, finished in a tie for 14th with a 72-hole total of 302.

Top individuals: 1. Tom Patri, Florida Southern, 73-70-72-78—293; 2. Vic Wilk, Northridge State, 74-73-77-74—298; 3. (tie) Mark Blakeley, U.S. International, 75-74-75-75—299; Mike Domalske, Florida Southern, 76-73-72-78—299, and Jeff Potts, Northern Colorado, 73-72-73-81—299; 6. (tie) Handler Smith Jr., Southwest Texas State, 77-74-73-76—300; Michael Folquet, California-Davis, 76-74-76-74—300, and David Snyder, Florida Southern, 76-75-72-77—300; 9. (tie) Lee Kolquist, Minnesota-Duluth, 78-75-74-74—301; Bob Beckmann, Tampa, 74-74-73-80—301; Rick Jones, Youngstown State, 74-74-76-77—301; Bobby Biser, Southwest Missouri State, 73-78-74-76—301, and Mark Diamond, Rollins, 72-78-75-76—301.

Team results: 1. Florida Southern, 1,184; 2. U.S. International, 1,219; 3. (tie) Troy State and Northridge State, 1,221; 5. Minnesota-Duluth, 1,227; 6. Indiana (Pennsylvania), 1,230; 7. Columbus, 1,232; 8. Tampa, 1,235; 9. Southwest Texas State, 1,236; 10. Southern Illinois-Edwardsville, 1,239.

Warriors No. 1 again

The method was different, but the result was the same as Stanislaus State captured its sixth consecutive title at the Division III Men's Golf Championships at Pinehurst, North Carolina.

Unlike past years, the Warriors had to come from behind on the final day to overtake Roanoke College. In a photo finish, Stanislaus State concluded with 1,262 strokes while Roanoke had 1,265. Last year, the Warriors finished 21 strokes in front of second-place Ramapo.

Cliff Smith led the Stanislaus golfers, totaling 312 strokes for the four days of competition on the Pinehurst No. 6 course.

Individual honors went to Ryan Fox of North Carolina-Greensboro, who finished at 306. Fox shot a first-day score of 79 and was tied for 25th but moved to eighth after a second-day 77. A 75 brought him within one stroke of third-round leader Ed Podufal of Allegheny, and a final-round 75 left Fox with a five-stroke victory over Bill Stebelton of Capital.

Smith and Roanoke's Steve Caldwell tied for third at 312.

Stanislaus State's six consecutive team titles rank high on the list of consecutive NCAA team championships. The all-time leader is Southern California in outdoor track with nine (1935-43). Only five institutions have won seven championships in a row: Oklahoma State, wrestling, 1937-42 and 1946; Southern California, outdoor track, 1949-55; Denver, skiing, 1961-67; UCLA, basketball, 1967-73, and Cal Poly-San Luis Obispo, wrestling, 1968-74. Indiana won six swimming titles from 1968 to 1973.

Top individuals: 1. Ryan Fox, North Carolina-Greensboro, 79-77-75-75—306; 2. Bill Stebelton, Capital, 78-78-78-77—311; 3. (tie) Steve Caldwell, Roanoke, 70-83-79-80—312, and Cliff Smith, Stanislaus State, 77-80-79-76—312; 5. (tie) John Newton,



Greensboro's Tim Searcy

Nick Lovin

Roanoke, 77-77-79-80—313; Bruce Nakamura, California-San Diego, 78-76-87-72—313, and Ed Podufal, Allegheny, 73-78-79-83—313; 8. Jeff Thomas, Ramapo, 72-80-82-81—315; 9. (tie) Dean Kain, Stanislaus State, 77-79-78-82—316, and Tom Lynch, DePauw, 78-81-80-77—316.

Team results: 1. Stanislaus State, 1,262; 2. Roanoke, 1,265; 3. Ramapo, 1,278; 4. Allegheny, 1,285; 5. Greensboro, 1,288; 6. Methodist, 1,290; 7. Rochester Tech, 1,295; 8. Salem State, 1,306; 9. Central (Iowa), 1,311; 10. DePauw, 1,317.



Johns Hopkins' Brendan Schneck (25) and North Carolina's Randy Cox (35)

UNC captures I lacrosse

North Carolina scored five goals in the fourth quarter to defeat Johns Hopkins, 14-13, in the 1981 Division I Men's Lacrosse Championship in Princeton, New Jersey.

The Tar Heels' come-from-behind victory snapped a three-year winning streak by Johns Hopkins, which has finished first or second in eight of the 11 championships. The title was North Carolina's first in lacrosse.

North Carolina opened the fourth quarter with five consecutive goals to take a 14-11 lead. Michael Burnett scored two goals in the burst, while Pete Voelkel, Doug Hall and Jeff Homire scored one goal each.

Johns Hopkins did not give up, however. Brendan Schneck and Jeff Cook scored unassisted goals in the final two minutes to close the margin to one goal, but Tar Heel goalie Tom Sears and the North Carolina defense shut down the Blue Jays at that point.

North Carolina, which finished the season 12-0, was led by Burnett with four goals and one assist and Hall with three goals. Johns Hopkins, 13-1, was led in scoring by Cook with six goals and Schneck with two goals and two assists.

North Carolina 4 3 2 5 — 14
Johns Hopkins 5 3 3 2 — 13

North Carolina scoring: Michael Burnett 4, Doug Hall 3, Kevin Griswold 2, Chris Mueller 1, Monty Hill 1, Dave Wingate 1, Pete Voelkel 1, Jeff Homire 1.

Johns Hopkins scoring: Jeff Cook 6, Brendan Schneck 2, Jim Zaffuto 1, Bill Cantelli 1, Jeff Kendall 1, Jeff Harris 1, Mike Donnelly 1.

Shots: North Carolina 44, Johns Hopkins 48.

First round: Johns Hopkins 19, Maryland 14; Virginia 16, Massachusetts 12; North Carolina 13, Syracuse 6; Navy 16, Army 10.

Semifinals: Johns Hopkins 10, Virginia 6; North Carolina 17, Navy 8.

Adelphi holds on

Adelphi survived a fourth-quarter charge by Loyola (Maryland) to win the 1981 Division II Men's Lacrosse Championship, 17-14, before the home crowd in Garden City, New York.

Adelphi, 1979 champion and runner-up in 1976 and 1980, led 9-4 at the end of the first half and 14-7 after three periods. The Greyhounds of Loyola made it close, however, with seven goals in the final quarter.

Pat Burke, Ken King and Ed Hughes were the scoring leaders for Adelphi. Burke had four goals

Continued on page 8

Fla. Southern moves to top

After two straight runner-up finishes, Florida Southern won its first title in grand style at the 1981 Division II Men's Golf Championships in Simsbury, Connecticut.

Behind individual champion Tom Patri, Florida Southern totaled 1,184 strokes for the 72-hole event, 35 shots ahead of runner up U.S. International. Besides Patri's 293, other team members for Florida Southern were Mike Domalske (299), David Snyder (300), Scott Behl (302) and Jim Northrup (313).

Southern Illinois-Edwardsville enjoyed a four-shot

NCAA spring championships

Continued from page 7

and two assists, King had three goals and two assists and Hughes contributed two goals and six assists. Gary Hanley had five goals and two assists for Loyola.

Loyola scored seven of the first eight points in the fourth quarter to cut the Adelphi lead to one point, 15-14. However, Dave Leistman and King sealed the victory for Adelphi with back-to-back goals in the closing minute of the match.

Adelphi now is tied with Hobart on the all-time Division II list with two team championships. Hobart now competes in Division III.

Adelphi 5 4 5 3 — 17
Loyola (Maryland) 2 2 3 7 — 14

Adelphi scoring: Pat Burke 4, Ken King 3, Ed Hughes 2, Bob Piccola 2, Dave Leistman 2, Kevin Meinsen 1, Ed Menegaux 1, Mickey Boland 1, Pat Badolato 1.

Loyola (Maryland) scoring: Gary Hanley 5, Chris Gaeng 3, Tony Golden 2, Jack Ramey 1, Ben Hagberg 1, Alex Gavrelis 1, Mark Brennan 1.

Shots: Adelphi 56, Loyola (Maryland) 34.

Cortland State 18, Ohio Wesleyan 5; Salisbury State 18, St. Lawrence 11.

Semifinals: Hobart 23, Washington (Maryland) 6; Cortland State 14, Salisbury State 9.

Cardinals fly past field

Stanford won five of six singles matches against UCLA to clinch its fourth team title in the last five years at the 1981 Division I Men's Tennis Championships in Athens, Georgia.

The Cardinals have won six of the last nine championships and trail only UCLA (13) and Southern California (12) in team titles. The three doubles matches were cancelled after Stanford built an insurmountable 5-1 lead after the singles competition.

Stanford's Tim Mayotte defeated teammate Jimmy Gurfein, 6-7, 6-3, 6-3, for the individual title. This was the first time since 1974 that two players from the same team met in the finals. In 1974, Stanford's John Whitlinger defeated teammate Chico Hagey for the individual crown.



Ken Flach, SIU-Edwardsville

John Rossino

Edwardsville repeats

Ken Flach and Hugo Nunez advanced to the singles and doubles finals to lead Southern Illinois-Edwardsville to its fourth straight title at the 1981 Division II Men's Tennis Championships in Little Rock, Arkansas.

Flach defeated Nunez, 6-4, 6-4, for the singles title, marking the second straight year that Nunez has lost to a teammate in the singles championship. Juan Farrow defeated Nunez last year.

Along with four consecutive team titles, Southern Illinois-Edwardsville has won the last five singles championships. Farrow won three titles and Arjun Fernando one. With four team titles, the Cougars are second on the all-time Division II tennis list behind California-Irvine, which won six titles before moving to Division I.

Flach won all six singles matches in straight sets. The Cougar netter defeated Tadeu Luiz of Hampton, 6-0, 6-4; Mike Kerr of U.S. International, 7-5, 6-4; Beau Toy of California-Davis, 6-0, 6-1; Ricky Davison of Florida International, 6-1, 6-4; Godwin Emeh of Hampton, 6-3, 6-4, and Nunez.

Brian Lusson and Bart Bernstein of Southwest Texas State defeated Flach and Nunez in the doubles final, 6-3, 6-4. Southwest Texas State was making its first NCAA appearance, and the victory denied Southern Illinois-Edwardsville its third straight doubles title.

Singles quarterfinals—Ken Flach, Southern Illinois-Edwardsville, def. Ricky Davison, Florida International, 6-1, 6-4; Godwin Emeh, Hampton, def. Ravinder Sabherwal, Mercyhurst, 6-3, 6-4; Hugo Nunez, Southern Illinois-Edwardsville, def. Rafael Moreno, Hampton, 6-2, 6-3; Brian Lusson, Southwest Texas State, def. Doug Burke, Southern Illinois-Edwardsville, 2-6, 6-2, 6-4.

Singles semifinals—Flach def. Emeh, 6-2, 6-1; Nunez def. Lusson, 4-6, 6-4, 6-4.

Singles finals—Flach def. Nunez, 6-4, 6-4.

Doubles quarterfinals—Brian Lusson-Bart Bernstein, Southwest Texas State, def. David Ware-David Oechsle, Valdosta State, 4-6, 6-2, 7-6; Mike Smith-Andy Lutz, California-Davis, def. Tadeu Luiz-Rafael Moreno, Hampton, 6-3, 3-6, 6-4; Beau Toy-William Vanderwerf, California-Davis, def. David Shuh-Myron Shenkiryk, California-Riverside, 6-3, 3-6, 6-4; Ken Flach-Hugo Nunez, Southern Illinois-Edwardsville, def. Gregory Neuhart-Steve Williford, Bakersfield State, 4-6, 7-5, 6-3.

Doubles semifinals—Lusson-Bernstein def. Smith-Lutz, 6-0, 6-3; Flach-Nunez def. Toy-Vanderwerf, 6-1, 4-6, 6-4.


Doubles finals—Lusson-Bernstein def. Flach-Nunez, 6-3, 6-4.

Team results: 1. Southern Illinois-Edwardsville, 22; 2. (tie) Rollins and Southwest Texas State, 12, 4. (tie) California-Davis and Hampton, 10; 6. (tie) Florida International and Mercyhurst, 5; 8. U.S. International, 4; 9. (tie) Bakersfield State, California-Riverside, Hayward State and Valdosta State, 3.

Claremont, Swarthmore tie

Thanks to Donovan Jones' victory in the singles championship, Claremont-Mudd-Scripps tied Swarthmore for the team title at the 1981 Division III Men's Tennis Championships in Salisbury, Maryland.

Claremont-Mudd-Scripps, a three-time runner-up,



Adelphi goalie Steve Shelley (2) dives in futile attempt to stop goal by Loyola's Gary Hanley

Bruce Bennett

Hobart holds crown

Hobart scored three goals in the final period to defeat Cortland State, 10-8, at the 1981 Division III Men's Lacrosse Championship in Geneva, New York.

Hobart, a two-time winner in Division II, defeated Cortland State last year in the first Division III championship. The Statesmen were runners-up three other times in Division II competition.

Larry Grimaldi put Hobart ahead, 8-7, at 2:27 of the fourth quarter and Jeff Knaus followed five minutes later with his third goal of the game to give the Statesmen a 9-7 lead.

Kurt Stokes closed the margin to 9-8 for Cortland State, but Hobart's Bob Sipperly came back eight seconds later to give the Statesmen a two-goal lead and the championship.

Knaus led Hobart scoring with three goals and three assists, along with Bill Sipperly who added three goals. Mark Koetzner had six assists to lead Cortland State scorers, while John Koerner contributed three goals.

Hobart 2 4 1 3 — 10
Cortland State 2 3 2 1 — 8

Hobart scoring: Jeff Knaus 3, Bill Sipperly 3, Tim Hoppey 1, Bruce Eckerson 1, Larry Grimaldi 1, Bob Sipperly 1.

Cortland State scoring: John Koerner 3, Bob Russo 2, Peter Meyer 1, Kurtis Stokes 1, Tim Curran 1.

Shots: Hobart 42, Cortland State 30.

First round: Hobart 27, Fairleigh Dickinson-Madison 4; Washington (Maryland) 12, Roanoke 11 (ot);

With Mayotte's victory, Stanford now has eight individual titles and is tied for fifth with Tulane on the all-time list. The last Stanford champion was John McEnroe in 1978.

The individual doubles championship was an all-Southwest Conference showdown as David Pate and Karl Richter of Texas Christian defeated Pat Serret and Peter Doohan of Arkansas, 6-7, 6-3, 6-4. The victory was Texas Christian's first NCAA tennis title.

Team championship: Stanford 5, UCLA 1. Singles—Tim Mayotte, Stanford, def. Marcel Freeman, UCLA, 6-7, 7-6, 6-3; Robbie Venter, UCLA, def. Scott Davis, Stanford, 6-4, 6-3; Jimmy Gurfein, Stanford, def. Blaine Willenborg, UCLA, 6-0, 6-3; Mike Falberg, Stanford, def. John Davis, UCLA, 3-6, 7-6, 6-2; Scott Bondurant, Stanford, def. Bruce Brescia, UCLA, 6-3, 4-6, 7-5; Jeff Arons, Stanford, def. Craig Venter, UCLA, 3-6, 6-1, 6-3.

First round: Georgia 7, Houston 2; Clemson 6, Miami (Florida) 3; Arkansas 7, Oklahoma State 2; UCLA 6, Michigan 3; Stanford 9, Utah 0; Southern California 6, Auburn 3, California 6, Southern Methodist 3; Pepperdine 7, Harvard 2.

Quarterfinals: Stanford 5, California 4; Georgia 7, Pepperdine 2; Southern California 6, Clemson 3; UCLA 5, Arkansas 4.

Semifinals: Stanford 7, Georgia 2; UCLA 5, Southern California 4.

Third place: Georgia 6, Southern California 3.

Individual singles: Tim Mayotte, Stanford, def. Jimmy Gurfein, Stanford, 6-7, 6-3, 6-3.

Individual doubles: David Pate-Karl Richter, Texas Christian, def. Pat Serret-Peter Doohan, Arkansas, 6-7, 6-3, 6-4.

trailed Swarthmore, 1977 champion, by one point heading into the final round of play. Jones defeated Bud Schultz of Bates for the tying point.

Jim Hearn and Shaun Miller of Gustavus Adolphus won the doubles championship, lifting the Gusties into a tie with Kalamazoo and Bates for third place. Gustavus Adolphus edged Claremont-Mudd-Scripps for the championship last year.

Kalamazoo is the all-time Division III leader with two team titles. The other four championships have been won by Swarthmore, Redlands, Gustavus Adolphus and Claremont-Mudd-Scripps.

Singles quarterfinals: Rod Dowdle, Swarthmore, def. Alex Gaeta, Rochester, 5-7, 6-3, 6-4; Donovan Jones, Claremont-Mudd-Scripps, def. Chris Bacharach, California-Santa Cruz, 3-6, 6-0, 7-5; Bob Woods, Occidental, def. Bill Vanderhoef, Kalamazoo, 6-3, 6-2; Bud Schultz, Bates, def. Conrad Frey, Lynchburg, 7-6, 6-2.

Singles semifinals: Jones def. Dowdle, 6-2, 6-3; Schultz def. Woods, 6-4, 3-6, 6-4.

Singles finals: Jones def. Schultz, 3-6, 6-0, 7-5.

Doubles quarterfinals: Jim Hearn-Shaun Miller, Gustavus Adolphus, def. Dave Bronkema-Steve Brown, Swarthmore, 6-4, 6-2; Thomas Johnston-Gardiner Graber, Pomona-Pitzer, def. Paul Brauner-Alan Godfrey, Principia, 3-6, 6-3, 6-3; Bill Harper-Ricor Silveria, Millsaps, def. Bud Schultz-Bert Cole, Bates, 6-2, 7-5; Pete Lovell-Doug Gaker, Washington & Lee, def. Rod Dowdle-Bob Sheehy, Swarthmore, 6-4, 6-3.

Doubles semifinals: Hearn-Miller def. Johnston-Graber, 6-4, 6-2; Lovell-Gaker def. Harper-Silveria, 5-7, 6-4, 6-1.

Doubles finals: Hearn-Miller def. Lovell-Gaker, 4-6, 6-2, 7-5.

Team results: 1. (tie) Swarthmore and Claremont-Mudd-Scripps, 9; 3. (tie) Kalamazoo, Bates and Gustavus Adolphus, 7; 6. Washington & Lee, 6; 7. Millsaps, 5; 8. (tie) California-San Diego, Rochester, Occidental and Pomona-Pitzer, 4.



Donovan Jones, Claremont-Mudd-Scripps

Texas-El Paso wins again

Texas-El Paso dominated the two distance races to win its third consecutive title at the 1981 Division I Men's Outdoor Track Championships at Louisiana State University in Baton Rouge, Louisiana.

Suleiman Nyambui won the 10,000-meter race for the third straight year and the 5,000 for the second consecutive time to lead the Miners to their fourth all-time outdoor title. Southern California leads in all-time outdoor track victories with 26, followed by Illinois and UCLA with five each and Oregon and Texas-El Paso with four apiece.

Texas-El Paso compiled 42 of its 70 team points in the 5,000 and 10,000 races. Trailing Nyambui in the 10,000 for the Miners were Michael Musyoki (second), Matthews Motshwarateu (third) and Gidamis



Dennis Young of Indiana Central

Shahanga (fifth). Musyoki also finished third in the 5,000.

Other Texas-El Paso winners were Bert Cameron (400 meters) and Steve Hanna (triple jump). Thommie Sjolholm finished sixth in the hammer throw for the Miners, Peter Lemashon was fifth in the 800 and Milt Ottey tied for second in the high jump.

Houston's Carl Lewis joined Nyambui as a double winner. Lewis, however, accomplished the difficult feat of winning a field event (long jump) and a running event (100 meters) for the first time since Jesse Owens won the 100-yard dash, the 220-yard dash and the long jump in 1935 and the 100-meter dash, the 200-meter dash and the long jump in 1936.

Individual field event winners: High jump—Leo Williams, Navy, 7-4 $\frac{1}{4}$; Pole vault—David Bolz, Indiana, 17-8 $\frac{1}{4}$; Long jump—Carl Lewis, Houston, 27- $\frac{3}{4}$; Triple jump—Steve Hanna, Texas-El Paso, 55-11; Shot put—Michael Carter, Southern Methodist, 68-10 $\frac{3}{4}$; Discus—Scott Crowell, Iowa State, 206-3; Hammer throw—Richard Olsen, Southern Methodist, 237-0; Javelin—Mike Juskus, Glassboro State, 273-2; Decathlon—Tito Steiner, Brigham Young, 8,279 (NCAA record—old record of 8,079 held by Raimo Pihl, Brigham Young, 1975).

Individual track event winners: 100-meter dash—Carl Lewis, Houston, 9.99; 200-meter dash—Dwayne Evans, Arizona State, 20.20; 400-meter dash—Bert Cameron, Texas-El Paso, 44.58; 800-meter run—Sammy Koskei, Southern Methodist, 1:46.41; 1,500-meter run—Sydney Maree, Villanova, 3:35.30 (NCAA record—old record of 3:37.01 held by Eamonn Coghlan, Villanova, 1976); 5,000-meter run—Suleiman Nyambui, Texas-El Paso, 13:38.8; 10,000-meter run—Suleiman Nyambui, Texas-El Paso, 28:34.23; 3,000-meter steeplechase—Solomon Chebor, Fairleigh Dickinson, 8:23.34; 110-meter hurdles—Larry Cowling, California, 13.60; 400-meter hurdles—Andre Phillips, UCLA, 49.12; 400-meter relay—Northwestern State (Louisiana) (Victor Oatis, Joe Delaney, Mario Johnson, Mark Duper), 39.32; 1,600-meter relay—Tennessee (Darryl Canady, Darryl Wilson, David Patrick, Anthony Blair), 3:03.08 (NCAA record—old record of 3:03.4 held by UCLA, 1969).

Team results: 1. Texas-El Paso, 70; 2. Southern Methodist, 57; 3. Tennessee, 50; 4. Arizona State, 36; 5. UCLA, 30; 6. Brigham Young, 28; 7. Houston, 24; 8. Iowa State, 20; 9. Indiana, 18; 10. California, 17 $\frac{1}{2}$.

Cal Poly-SLO romps

Cal Poly-San Luis Obispo won its third consecutive title by a record-breaking 53 points at the 1981 Division II Men's Outdoor Track Championships at Western Illinois University in Macomb, Illinois.

The Mustangs won three individual titles and placed in 13 of the 21 events. Cal Poly-San Luis Obispo performers were runners-up in two events and finished third in four other events.

In winning their sixth Division II track title, the Mustangs surpassed the previous 44-point victory margin set by Eastern Michigan in 1972. The Mus-

tangs' other three team titles came in 1968, 1969 and 1970.

Winning events for the Mustangs were Carmelo Rios (steeplechase), Vernon Sallaz (800) and Mark Kent (100). Runners-up were James Huff (steeplechase) and Pat Croft (400), while Robert Riley (javelin), Mark Kibort (pole vault), Rowland Saisai (200) and Ronald Waynes (long jump) finished third.

Frank Assumma of California-Riverside turned in the meet's most outstanding individual performance. Assumma won the 1,500 and 5,000, setting meet records in both events. The only repeat winners were Gudmund Olsen of Mount St. Mary's in the decathlon and the St. Augustine's 400-meter relay team.

Individual field event winners: High jump—Bill Thomas, Northern Colorado, 7-1; Pole vault—Dave Gibeault, Southern Connecticut State, 16-1; Long jump—Kerry Rice, Western Illinois, 25-11; Triple jump—David McFadgen, Virginia State, 53-8 $\frac{1}{2}$; Shot put—Layne Kelly, St. Cloud State, 58-11 $\frac{1}{2}$; Discus—Dennis Young, Indiana Central, 170-0; Hammer throw—Bill Borden, Southern Connecticut State, 201-1; Javelin—Mike Jones, California-Davis, 236-9; Decathlon—Gudmund Olsen, Mt. St. Mary's, 7,481.

Individual track event winners: 100-meter dash—Mark Kent, Cal Poly-San Luis Obispo, 10.3; 200-meter dash—Herb Blue, Adelphi, 20.78; 400-meter dash—Kevin Jones, Northwood Institute, 46.07; 800-meter run—Vernon Sallaz, Cal Poly-San Luis Obispo, 1:49.12; 1,500-meter run—Frank Assumma, California-Riverside, 3:40.96 (NCAA record—old record of 3:43.82 held by Danny Aldridge, California Poly-San Luis Obispo, 1979); 5,000-meter run—Assumma, 13:59.10 (NCAA record—old record of 14:07.50 held by James Schankel, California Poly-San Luis Obispo, 1980); 10,000-meter run—Mark Conover, Humboldt State, 29:13.2; 3,000-meter steeplechase—Carmelo Rios, Cal Poly-San Luis Obispo, 8:49.79; 110-meter hurdles—Augie Oruwari, Eastern Illinois, 13.9; 400-meter hurdles—Sandy Labeaux, Hayward State, 50.96; 400-meter relay—St. Augustine's (Leonard Ford, William Baker, Gilbert Rolle, Michael Lawson), 40.24; 1,600-meter relay—Tuskegee (Thomas Grant, James Turner, John Sanders, Floyd Johnson), 3:10.23.

Team results: 1. Cal Poly-San Luis Obispo, 92; 2. Southern Connecticut State, 39; 3. California-Riverside, 33; 4. Mount St. Mary's 32; 5. Northridge State, 28; 6. Hayward State, 26; 7. St. Augustine's, 21; 8. (tie) Southeast Missouri State and Mankato State, 20; 10. (tie) St. Cloud State and Tuskegee 19.

Glassboro State triumphs

Glassboro State won the javelin, the 800-meter run and the 110-meter hurdles to claim its second consecutive team title at the 1981 Division III Men's Outdoor Track Championships at Case Western Reserve University in Cleveland, Ohio.

Michael Juskus won his second straight javelin title for Glassboro State with a toss of 248-8. Other Glassboro State winners were Garry Moore in the 110-meter hurdles (13.96) and Willie Richardson in the 800 meters (1:51.12).

Although the Profs won three individual championships, Glassboro State won the team title by virtue of its depth in several events. Gustavo Rivera, last year's champion in the 800, finished second this year to Richardson. Other individual place-winners for the Profs were Greg Rapp (third in the 5,000 meters), Leon Devero (fourth in the 110-meter hurdles) and Clayton Davis (fifth in the 110-meter hurdles). The 1,600-meter relay team finished second to Southern-New Orleans.

Kofi Osei's victory in the 100 meters was the only individual title for runner-up Augustana (Illinois); however, the Vikings placed second in the 1,500 meters (Steve Gramsch) and discus (Dave Lingner) and third in the decathlon (Socrates Catavatis) and 10,000 meters (Tony Hurd).

Pomona-Pitzer matched Glassboro State with three individual titles but failed to accumulate any additional points. The meet's only record was set by Haverford's Kevin Foley in the 1,500-meter run with a time of 3:46.47. Besides Juskus, the only repeaters from last year were the relay teams of Stanislaus State (400) and Southern-New Orleans (1,600).

Individual field event winners: High jump—Stan Watson, Pomona-Pitzer, 6-11; Pole vault—Alan Hill, DePauw, 15-4; Long jump—Todd Wallert, Hamline, 24-6 $\frac{1}{2}$; Triple jump—Alonzo Robinson, Pomona-Pitzer, 48-2; Shot put—Ron Hausauer, Jamestown, 56-6; Discus—Bret Hennesy, Simpson, 165-1; Hammer throw—Mark Miller, Bates, 176-8 $\frac{1}{2}$; Javelin—Michael Juskus, Glassboro State, 248-8; Decathlon—Dave Bolton, Washington (Missouri), 7,246.

Individual track event winners: 100-meter dash—Kofi Osei, Augustana (Illinois), 10.66; 200-meter

Continued on page 10

Interpretations

EDITOR'S NOTE: Publication of an interpretation in this column constitutes official notice to the membership. Questions concerning these or other O.I.s should be directed to William B. Hunt, assistant executive director, at the Association's national office (P.O. Box 1906, Shawnee Mission, Kansas 66222; 913/384-3220). The cases below appear in the 1981-82 NCAA Manual and are included in this issue of the NCAA News for emphasis.

Financial aid renewal notification (Case No. 136)

Situation: An institution renews a student-athlete's financial aid for the next academic year in accordance with Constitution 3-4 (g). (36)

Question: Is the institution obligated to notify the student-athlete in writing of the renewal?

Answer: Yes. Although Constitution 3-4-(g) does not specifically require written notification, Constitution 3-4 (f) does require a written statement of the amount, terms, conditions and duration of an award. [C 3-4-(f)]

Aid renewal notification (Case No. 138)

Situation: An institution is obligated to send notification to a student-athlete who received financial assistance during the previous academic year on or before July 1 whether the financial assistance will or will not be renewed for the ensuing academic year. (416)

Question: Is it necessary for the institution's regular financial aid authority to so notify the student-athlete?

Answer: Yes. Such notification must come from the institution's regular financial aid authority; further, notification from the institution's department of athletics does not satisfy this requirement. [C 3-4-(g)]

Hearing for nonrenewal of aid (Case No. 139)

Situation: Athletically related financial aid is awarded to a student-athlete for a one-year period. Subsequent to the one-year period, the institution's regular scholarship awards authority decides not to renew this financial aid for the next subsequent academic year. The student-athlete in question requests an opportunity for a hearing in the belief the aid is not being renewed for questionable reasons. (272)

Question: Is it permissible for the institution's regular financial aid authority to delegate the responsibility of conducting the hearing to the university's department of athletics or its faculty athletic committee?

Answer: No. The opportunity for such a hearing must be before the institution's regular scholarship awards authority. [C 3-4-(g)]

Financial aid renewal (Case No. 140)

Situation: An institution notifies a student-athlete by July 1 that the student-athlete will not be the recipient of financial aid for the next academic year. (33)

Question: Is the institution prohibited from awarding financial aid to the student-athlete after issuing such notification?

Answer: No. [C 3-4-(g)]

Financial aid renewal (Case No. 141)

Situation: An institution notifies one of its student-athletes by July 1 that the student-athlete will not be the recipient of financial aid for the next academic year. The student-athlete subsequently reports for preseason football practice. (34)

Question: May the institution then award the student-athlete financial aid?

Answer: Yes. [C 3-4-(g)]



H.H. Morris, Dr. W.B. Mustard, A.C. Priestman,
E.R. Ross, W.C. Longstreth, E.E. Trout, J.S. Fox,
C.R. Cary, W.W. Pusey, W.E. Cadbury, A.G.H. Spiers, A.J. Phillips, A.S. Cookman,
R.M. Gumms

The photo above was identified in the March 31 NCAA News as being one of the Harvard football team. In reality, the picture is one of an early Haverford College soccer team. The News regrets the error.

Supplemental series explained

The NCAA Football Television Committee has provided final instructions to the subcommittee that will negotiate telecasts of NCAA football games for 1982 and 1983.

At a mid-May meeting, the committee reviewed the current television plan and the NCAA's contract with ABC and also examined actions it has taken regarding the current plan. It also discussed the results of the recent membership referendum on the proposed negotiating principles.

Appearance guidelines for the supplementary series proposed for 1982-83 were adopted, although those guidelines depend on the outcome of negotiations with the major networks for the basic Saturday afternoon series. Wiles Hallock, Football Television Committee chair, noted that the negotiating subcommittee has the authority to make any necessary adjustments in the appearance guidelines.

At its May meeting, the committee determined that the number of appearances allowed in the supplemental series would depend on the number of appearances the in-

stitution received the preceding year on the primary series.

If a team were to appear in a national telecast on a network series in 1982, then it could not appear on the supplemental series in 1983. One 1982 network regional appearance would allow one 1983 supplemental appearance, while two 1982 network regional appearances would preclude any 1983 supplemental appearances. Any institution not appearing on either network series in 1982 could appear twice on the supplemental series in 1983.

Supplemental appearances for 1982 will be based upon 1981 series appearances, although the committee may limit all teams to a maximum of one supplemental appearance in 1982.

The committee also voted to revise Note 7 of the current plan for 1981, an action that will require ABC's agreement. The revised note would be:

"Home television market," as used in this plan, shall be the home community of a member institution unless that community has no television station. The institution may petition the committee for authorization to identify

another community as its home television market if it can document for the committee that it is unable to obtain coverage in its community. Once its home market is identified, the institution may not change to a second market for purposes of presenting an exception telecast or cablecast during the same football season, and may change home markets thereafter only for good reason and upon approval by the committee. The home television market under any circumstances must be the full-service market in closest proximity to the institution and within the accepted area of interest of the institution's athletic program."

Hallock said the change was precipitated by confusion over the intent of Note 7 and over the circumstances under which a market change could be affected. "The committee does not intend to permit institutions to shop for the best television deal among several markets," Hallock said. "Instead, Note 7 is intended to permit an institution with no stations in its home community to obtain reasonable television coverage of its games."

NCAA spring championships

Continued from page 9

dash—Ken Kohler, Carthage, 21.64; 400-meter dash—Paul Lewis, Stockton State, 47.19; 800-meter run—Willie Richardson, Glassboro State, 1:51.12; 1,500-meter run—Kevin Foley, Haverford, 3:46.47 (NCAA record—old record of 3:46.9 held by Chris Norton, Brandeis, 1976); 5,000-meter run—Mark Whalley, Principia, 14:33.04; 10,000-meter run—Jeff Milliman, North Central, 30:31.78; 3,000-meter steeplechase—Nick Buchan, Pomona-Pitzer, 8:56.13; 110-meter hurdles—Garry Moore, Glassboro State, 13.96; 400-meter hurdles—Ted Carlson, Wheaton, 51.30; 400-meter relay—Stanislaus State (Jeff Roberts, Bill Stone, Henry Dawkins, Dale Dilworth), 41.25; 1,600-meter relay—Southern-New Orleans (Michael Woods, Joseph Adams, Delwin Davis, Kenneth Davis), 3:11.35.

Team results: 1. Glassboro State, 58; 2. Augustana (Illinois), 42; 3. North Central, 40; 4. Southern-New Orleans, 34; 5. Pomona-Pitzer, 30; 6. Jamestown, 24; 7. Stanislaus State, 20; 8. (tie) Rochester and Principia, 18; 10. (tie) Lincoln (Pennsylvania), Carthage and Stockton State, 16.

UCLA takes victory

UCLA won its eighth title at the 1981 Men's Volleyball Championship in Santa Barbara, California, defeating Southern California, 11-15, 15-7, 15-11, 8-15, 15-13.

The Bruins trailed 9-7 in the final game but rallied behind Karch Kiraly, Peter Ehrman, Steve Gulnac and Steve Salmons to defeat defending champion Southern California.

In winning, UCLA continued the West Coast domination of the volleyball championship. In addition to the Bruins' eight titles in the 12-year existence of the championship, Southern California has two titles and San Diego State and Pepperdine have one each.

After falling behind two games to one, Southern California jumped to a 9-4 lead in the fourth game and scored the final five points to tie the match 2-2. Bill Stetson served six of the 15 points for the Trojans.

In the fifth and deciding game, Ricci Luyties served four points for UCLA while Ehrman, Kiraly and Gulnac scored three points each.

First round: UCLA def. Ohio State, 15-8, 15-7, 15-9, Southern California def. Penn State, 15-2, 15-6, 15-8.

Third place: Penn State def. Ohio State, 17-15, 10-15, 15-7, 15-8.

Championship: UCLA def. Southern California, 11-15, 15-7, 15-11, 8-15, 15-13.



UCLA's Karch Kiraly dinks a spike

Rafael Maldonado

NCAA RECORD

A roundup of current membership activities, personnel changes and Directory information

DIRECTORS OF ATHLETICS

PAT DYE appointed at Auburn ... **CAROLYN HODGES** named interim AD at Longwood ... **JOHN COOPER** selected at Tulsa ... **JAMES K. McDONALD** chosen at Edinboro State ... **RICHARD MARTIN** resigned at West Virginia ... **BOB HITCH** hired at Southern Methodist ... **MICHAEL MULLALLY** named at Boise State ... **GENE CARPENTER** selected at Millersville State ... **BECKY HUDSON** resigned as women's AD at Louisville ... **RICHARD HEATON** appointed acting AD at California Poly-San Luis Obispo ... **MARJORIE TROUT** chosen women's AD at Millersville State ... **ERNEST ROSS** named at Morris Brown, replacing **CHARLES HARDNETT**, who resigned ... **A. LeROY GREASON** appointed at Bowdoin ... **ROBERT HOCKEY** hired at Trinity (Texas) ... **BOBBY THOMPSON** selected at South Dakota ... **JAMES BLASING** resigned at Southern Colorado, effective July 1 ... **BILL ELLINGTON** resigned at Texas, effective August 31 ... **GENE MURPHY** named interim AD at Fullerton State ... **GENE SLAUGHTER** chosen at

Capital ... **STEVE BANKSON** named at Baldwin-Wallace.

COACHES

Men's baseball—**LONNIE KEETER** named at Utah ... **DONNY WHITE** appointed at Virginia Military ... **ROBERT HIRSCHFIELD** selected at New York Tech ... **EUGENE BAKER** retired at Rochester Tech.
Men's basketball—**CLAUDE ENGLISH** appointed at Rhode Island ... **BILLY WADE** hired at Morris Brown ... **CAL LUTHER** selected at Longwood ... **MIKE STEELE** named at DePauw ... **BRIAN MAHONEY** resigned at Manhattan to become assistant coach at St. John's (N.Y.) ... **MARK EDWARDS** chosen at Washington (Missouri) ... **TIM BAAB** hired at John Carroll ... **MYER SKOOG** resigned at Gustavus Adolphus ... **JIM BOYLE** named at St. Joseph's (Pennsylvania), replacing **JIM LYNAM**, who resigned ... **BEV VAUGHAN** resigned at Christopher Newport. Vaughan remains athletic director ... **MIKE CARUSO** selected at Elmhurst ... **SAM SKARICH** appointed at St. Cloud State ... **JACK PHELAN** hired at Hartford.

Women's basketball—**BERNADETTE McGLADE** named at Georgia Tech ... **ANN PLUMMER** chosen at Shippensburg State ... **LYNN GLATZER** appointed at Fairleigh Dickinson-Teaneck ... **LAURA GOLDEN** selected at Central Michigan ... **JANE MILLER** named interim coach at Longwood ... **BOB SCHNEIDER** hired at West Texas State, replacing **GARY MOORING**, who resigned.

Men's cross country—**DAVID ENZLER** named at Evansville ... **DAVID WILLIS** named cross country and track and field coach at West Texas State, replacing **ED McALLISTER**, who resigned.

Football—**RICHARD SUITER** appointed at Gallaudet ... **TIM McGUIRE** chosen at Morningside ... **ERSKINE RUSSELL** selected at Georgia Southern.

Men's golf—**LYNN BLEVINS** resigned at Oklahoma, named at Florida.

Men's ice hockey—**BERNARD DESCHAMPS** appointed at St. John's (N.Y.) ... **JIMMY PETERS** named at Northern Arizona.

Men's soccer—**JERRE McMANAMA** resigned at Ball State ...

CHARLIE SLAGLE selected at Davidson ... **BOB GRAHAM** resigned at Lewis.

Women's softball—**ALITA DILLON** resigned at East Carolina ... **SANDRA LEIGH** resigned at Auburn.

Men's swimming—**RALPH RICHARDS** named men's and women's coach at Albion ... **DENISE COUNTS** resigned at Xavier.

Women's swimming—**BOB GILLET** appointed at Arizona State.

Men's track and field—**CHARLES THORNTON** chosen at Texas Christian.

Women's track and field—**BOB SCHNEIDER** named at West Texas State, replacing **GARY MOORING**, who resigned.

Men's volleyball—**GREG SAUNDERS** selected at Loyola Marymount.

Women's volleyball—**RUTH NELSON** resigned at Houston, appointed at Louisiana State ... **SHARON DANIELS** hired at Clarion State ... **PETER WEINREICH** resigned at Buffalo, replaced by **ROBERT MAXWELL** ... **SANDRA LEIGH** resigned at Auburn ... **ALITA DILLON** resigned at East Carolina.

Men's wrestling—**RALPH MANNING** named at South Dakota State ... **NORM PALOVCSIK** resigned at Youngstown State ... **SCOTT BLISS** appointed at Montana.

STAFF

Sports information directors—**MICHAEL MADSEN** selected at Morningside ... **FRED GRAHAM** resigned at North Texas State ... **RALPH CARPENTER** named at Texas Christian ... **VINCENT OLIVER** selected at California State-Dominguez Hills.

Trainers—**GALE NEWTON** appointed at Miami (Ohio) ... **RALPH REIFF** hired at Butler.

CONFERENCES

JOHN GUTHRIE appointed assistant commissioner of Southeastern Conference.

NOTABLES

DON ROBERTS, men's ice hockey coach at Gustavus Adolphus, named president of the American Hockey Coaches Association for 1981-82 ... **MARK JOHNSON**, Northeast Louisiana tennis player, named winner of \$2,000 postgraduate scholarship by Trans America Conference. This program is modeled after NCAA postgraduate scholarship program.

DEATHS

LEE TRESSEL, football coach at Baldwin-Wallace the past 23 years ... **CLIFF WETTIG**, Samford basketball coach ... **CLYDE LITTLEFIELD**, former Texas track and field coach and founder of Texas Relays ... **HARBIN LAWSON**, former basketball coach at Georgia.

High school all-star games certified

The following high school all-star games have been certified by the NCAA All-Star High School Games Committee and the National Federation of State High School Associations in accordance with Constitution 3-9-(a).

Certified High School Basketball All-Star Games

State	Date	Location	Name of Game
Alabama	July 30	Tuscaloosa	AHSAA
Arizona	August 7	Flagstaff	North-South
Arkansas	August 1	Little Rock	AHSC
California	July 8 August 1	Fresno San Diego	Central California San Diego-County Prep
Colorado	TBA	Denver	CHSC
Florida	July 31	Gainesville	FACA
Georgia	July 29	Atlanta	GACA
Indiana	July 11 and 18	Waterloo and Kendallville	Northeast
Iowa	July 18	Cedar Falls	Iowa
Louisiana	July 25	Lafayette	LHSCA
Mississippi	August 1	Jackson	Coaches Association
Missouri	August 2 or 3	St. Louis	Luther Benefit
Nebraska	July 11	Omaha	Nebraska State Coca-Cola
New Mexico	July 30	Albuquerque	North-South AAAA-AAA and AA-A
	July 31	Albuquerque	North-South
North Dakota	August 6 and 8	Fargo and Bismarck	North Dakota Lions
Oklahoma	July 31	Tulsa	All-State
Texas	July 24	Dallas	THSCA
Virginia	July 24	Blacksburg	Coaches Association
Washington	TBA	Tacoma and Richland	City vs. State

Certified High School Football All-Star Games

State	Date	Location	Name of Game
Alabama	July 31	Tuscaloosa	AHSAA
Arizona	August 8	Flagstaff	North-South
Arkansas	August 1	Little Rock	AHSC
California	July 3 July 10	Vista Azusa	North County East-West San Gabriel Valley- Glendora Rotary
	July 11	Ventura	Ventura County Lions
	July 11	Los Angeles	Small Schools
	July 17	Suisun City	NAPA/Solano/YOLO East-West
	July 17	Cerritos	Kiwanis 605
	July 25	Pasadena	Shrine
	August 1	Pasadena	Hall of Fame
	August 4	San Jose	Santa Clara County
	August 6	Van Nuys	San Fernando Valley
	August 6	Torrance	West Torrance Lions
	August 8	Sacramento	Optimist
	August 8	Los Angeles	LA Prep Senior Bowl
	August 8	Redding	Lions District 4-CI

State	Date	Location	Name of Game
	August 12	Visalia	Tulare-Kings
	August 13	Fresno	Central California
	August 14	Santa Rosa	Marin-Sonoma
	August 22	Eureka	Ed Cox Memorial
	August 22	San Mateo County or Palo Alto	San Mateo County
Colorado	TBA	Denver	CHSC
Delaware	August 8	Newark	Delaware High School
Florida	August 1	Gainesville	FACA
Georgia	July 30	DeKalb	GACA
Illinois	July 31 August 1	Chicago Normal	Mayor Byrne's ICA-Shrine
Indiana	August 1	Bloomington	North-South
Iowa	August 8	Des Moines	Iowa Shrine
Kentucky	July 31	Louisville	Jefferson County East-West
Louisiana	July 25	Lafayette	LHSCA
Maryland	July 10	Cumberland	Coaches
	July 24	Hagerstown	Ali Ghan Shrine
Massachusetts	August 7	Lynn	Harry Agganis
Michigan	August 8	East Lansing	Michigan Football Coaches
Minnesota	July 25	Minneapolis	Minnesota High School
Mississippi	August 1	Jackson	Touchdown Club
Missouri	July 25	Jefferson City	Missouri Lions East-West
Montana	August 8	Great Falls	Montana Shrine
Nebraska	August 1 August 8	Scottsbluff Lincoln	Western Nebraska Shrine Bowl
New Hampshire	August 8	Hanover	Shrine Maple Sugar
New York	July 31 August 5	Central Islip Williamsville	North-South PAL Lions
North Dakota	August 8	Minot	North Dakota Shine
Ohio	July 11	Berea	Cuyahoga County East-West
	July 17	Akron	Akron Beacon Journal
	July 24	Massillon	Ohio North-South
	July 31	Dover	Times Reporter Charities
	August 1	Mentor	Mentor Lions
Oregon	August 8	Baker	Shriners Hospital East-West
	August 15	Portland	Shriners Hospital
Pennsylvania	August 1	Hershey	Sertoma Keystone
	August 1	Bradford	Big 30
	August 5	Scranton	Lions Dream
Texas	July 25 August 7	Dallas Wichita Falls	THSCA Texas-Oklahoma Oil Bowl
Utah	August 8	Salt Lake City	United Cerebral Palsy
Virginia	July 25	Blacksburg	Coaches Association
West Virginia	July 18 August 1	Charleston Wheeling	Rhododendron OVAC
Wisconsin	July 25	Oshkosh	Wisconsin Shrine

Richmond files suit

The University of Richmond has filed suit against the Department of Education in an attempt to prohibit Title IX enforcement against the university's intercollegiate athletic program.

The university, asserting that its athletic program receives no Federal financial assistance, has asked a U.S. District Court in Richmond to rule that its athletic programs are not subject to the requirements of Title IX and that the implementation regulation for Title IX is beyond the Department of Education's statutory authority.

In March, the university informed the Department of Education that a request for information regarding alleged sex discrimination in the university's athletic department was unduly lengthy and burdensome and possibly in excess of the agency's investigative powers. Also, the university contended its athletic program had not received Federal funds and therefore was not within the scope of Title IX.

In response, the Office for Civil Rights of the Department of Education said it considered the university's athletic program to be within the jurisdiction of Title IX even if it received no Federal funds. Furthermore, the department indicated plans to initiate enforcement proceedings against the university if it failed to respond to the Office for Civil Rights' demands.


The university filed suit April 23. The action is the first filed by an NCAA member in response to a Title IX athletics complaint or investigation since the February 23 Othen vs. Ann Arbor School Board decision in Detroit. In that decision, the court held that Title IX does not apply to any program that does not directly receive Federal financial assistance.

Nonprofit organization
U. S. POSTAGE
PAID
Permit No. 4794
KANSAS CITY, MO.

NYSF funding approved 1
TV plan vote details 1
Steering committee meetings 1
Hallock CFA speech 2
Women's championships plans 4
Summer basketball leagues 5
Spring championships 6
Supplemental TV series 10

In this issue:

June 15, 1981
ADDRESS CORRECTION REQUESTED
An Equal Opportunity Employer
Shawnee Mission, Kansas 66222
Nall Avenue at 63rd Street, P.O. Box 1906



Final 1980-81 NCAA championship results

Fall

Cross Country

Division I, 42nd. Champion: Texas-El Paso; 2. Arkansas. Individual: Suleiman Nyambui, Texas-El Paso.
Division II, 23rd. Champion: Humboldt State; 2. Pembroke State. Individual: Garry Henry, Pembroke State.
Division III, 8th. Champion: Carleton; 2. Augustana (Illinois). Individual: Jeff Milliman, North Central.

Football

Division I-AA, 3rd. Champion: Boise State; 2. Eastern Kentucky. Score: 31-29.
Division II, 8th. Champion: Cal Poly-San Luis Obispo; 2. Eastern Illinois. Score: 21-13.
Division III, 8th. Champion: Dayton; 2. Ithaca. Score: 63-0.

Soccer

Division I, 22nd. Champion: San Francisco; 2. Indiana. Score: 4-3.
Division II, 9th. Champion: Lock Haven State; 2. Florida International. Score: 1-0.
Division III, 7th. Champion: Babson; 2. Scranton. Score: 1-0.

Water Polo

12th championship. Champion: Stanford; 2. California-Berkeley. Score: 8-6.



Winter

Basketball

Division I, 43rd. Champion: Indiana; 2. North Carolina. Score: 63-50.
Division II, 25th. Champion: Florida Southern; 2. Mount St. Mary's. Score: 73-68.
Division III, 7th. Champion: Potsdam State; 2. Augustana (Illinois). Score: 67-65.

Fencing

37th championship. Champion: Pennsylvania; 2. Wayne State.

Gymnastics

Division I, 39th. Champion: Nebraska; 2. Oklahoma.
Division II, 14th. Champion: Wisconsin-Oshkosh; 2. Springfield.

Ice Hockey

Division I, 34th. Champion: Wisconsin; 2. Minnesota. Score: 6-3.
Division II, 4th. Champion: Lowell; 2. Plattsburgh State. Score: 5-4.

Rifle

2nd championship. Champion: Tennessee Tech; 2. West Virginia.

Skating

28th championship. Champion: Utah; 2. Vermont.

Swimming

Division I, 58th. Champion: Texas; 2. UCLA.
Division II, 18th. Champion: Northridge State; 2. Oakland.
Division III, 7th. Champion: Kenyon; 2. Johns Hopkins.

Indoor Track and Field

17th championship. Champion: Texas-El Paso; 2. Southern Methodist.

Wrestling

Division I, 51st. Champion: Iowa; 2. Oklahoma.
Division II, 19th. Champion: Bakersfield State; 2. Eastern Illinois.
Division III, 8th. Champion: Trenton State; 2. Brockport State.

Spring

Baseball

Division I, 35th. Champion: Arizona State; 2. Oklahoma State. Score: 7-4.
Division II, 14th. Champion: Florida Southern; 2. Eastern Illinois. Score: 9-0.
Division III, 6th. Champion: Marietta; 2. Ithaca. Score: 14-12.

Golf

Division I, 84th. Champion: Brigham Young; 2. Oral Roberts. Individual: Ron Commans, Southern California.
Division II, 19th. Champion: Florida Southern; 2. U.S. International. Individual: Tom Patri, Florida Southern.
Division III, 7th. Champion: Stanislaus State; 2. Roanoke. Individual: Ryan Fox, North Carolina-Greensboro.

Lacrosse

Division I, 11th. Champion: North Carolina; 2. Johns Hopkins. Score: 14-13.
Division II, 9th. Champion: Adelphi; 2. Loyola (Maryland). Score: 17-14.
Division III, 2nd. Champion: Hobart; 2. Cortland State. Score: 10-8.

Tennis

Division I, 97th. Champion: Stanford; 2. UCLA. Individual: Tim Mayotte, Stanford.
Division II, 19th. Champion: Southern Illinois-Edwardsville; 2. (tie) Rollins and Southwest Texas State. Individual: Ken Flach, Southern Illinois-Edwardsville.
Division III, 6th. Champion: Tie between Swarthmore and Claremont-Mudd-Scripps. Individual: Donovan Jones, Claremont-Mudd-Scripps.

Outdoor Track and Field

Division I, 60th. Champion: Texas-El Paso; 2. Southern Methodist.
Division II, 19th. Champion: Cal Poly-San Luis Obispo; 2. Southern Connecticut State.
Division III, 8th. Champion: Glassboro State; 2. Augustana (Illinois).

Volleyball

12th championship. Champion: UCLA; 2. Southern California. Score: 11-15, 15-7, 15-11, 8-15, 15-13.