



Preview Principals

University of Washington coach Don James talks with a group of reporters at "College Football '78." The preview, sponsored by the Association, brought together nine of the nation's top football coaches as well as 55 respected sportswriters. Al Moss of the San Francisco Chronicle is at the extreme left while Smith Barrier of the Greensboro Daily News is at the right.



NEWS

VOL. 15 • NO. 4

MARCH 1, 1978



Arbitrators to Hear USWF's Challenge

A three-man arbitration panel soon will hear a challenge from the United States Wrestling Federation concerning the right of the Amateur Athletic Union to serve as the governing body for wrestling in the United States. The hearings will take place in Chicago, probably the week of April 24.

They are expected to last one full week with another week expected to be set aside in May for a continuation. Additional days may be required by the panel, which is composed of three Chicago lawyers. "I am hoping by the early part of the summer we will have a position statement from the panel regarding what has to be done," said USWF Executive Director Steve Combs.

USWF and AAU officials spent the last two weeks of February examining the other party's files, each attempting to solidify their respective cases.

Optimistic

Combs said he is optimistic that the USWF will be able to prove that it should serve as the national governing body. "We can show that even without the international franchise," Combs said, "we still have a bigger membership."

Combs said the USWF is most troubled by the fact that the arbitrators do not necessarily have to find in favor of either the USWF or the AAU. They could, he said, recommend improvements in the current governing body and request that the changes be made in a reasonable time.

"We expect that the AAU's position will be to put us in the role of a puppet of the NCAA," Combs said, "as part of a 'master plan' to do away with the AAU."

This marks the first time an avenue has been available for a challenge of this sort. It became possible when the United States Olympic Committee passed a number of changes in its constitution, among them statements that (1) specific criteria had to be met for a governing body to govern a sport and (2) a mechanism was provided by which individuals and organizations could challenge the governing body.

Landmark

"This opportunity for the USWF to challenge the AAU is a landmark event," remarked Combs, "not only for wrestling but for all sports. Individual injustices and organizational disputes have raged for more than half century on the American sports scene, but never before

has an authority such as the American Arbitration Association been used to reach a final solution."

Route to Arbitration

On June 3, 1977, the USWF formally applied to the United States Olympic Committee to be recognized as a governing body, and, almost two months later, the USWF and the AAU appeared before the USOC Executive Board to present their cases.

The USOC denied the USWF's application on the grounds that it did not have the power to admit the USWF to group A membership (governing body) and recommended that the parties mediate their differences (with former treasury secretary William Simon acting as mediator).

Immediately after the USOC Board meeting, the USWF filed its demand with the American Arbitration Association in Chicago. Quickly, the AAU filed suit in a federal court seeking to block the arbitration, but after the motion was briefed and argued, the Court denied the AAU motion.

The AAU then filed objection with the American Arbitration Association protesting the choice of Chicago as site for the hearings, claiming instead that New

Wrestling coaches support USWF, see resolution, page 5

York would be a more convenient location. Again, the AAU was overruled.

Because of the AAU's law suit, serious questions were raised concerning the willingness of the AAU to place its credentials as a national governing body on the line and to negotiate seriously (on a voluntary basis) the issues that separate the parties.

As a result, the USWF declined the USOC's offer for mediation, stating that arbitration would allow the credentials of the respective parties to be placed before an impartial body under oath and pursuant to legitimate discovery procedures.

"It would be interesting," said USWF President Rick Bay, "to watch the Wrestling Division of the AAU attempt to function, or even survive, if it were denied the franchise. In my opinion it would die—if for no other reason than it simply does not have the organization or wherewithal to operate solely on a domestic basis."

Football Preview a Success

The popularity of college football was demonstrated February 23-24 in Kansas City as 55 of the top sports writers from across the nation joined with nine of the top intercollegiate coaches for "College Football '78 Preview."

The writers seemed particularly interested in the coaches' opinions of how to halt recruiting violations in college football. Also, considerable attention was focused on the Congressional investigation of the NCAA, which began February 27.

The coaches included Texas A&M's Emory Bellard, Alabama's Paul "Bear" Bryant, Indiana's Lee Corso, Virginia Tech's Bill Dooley, Brigham Young's LaVell Edwards,

Washington's Don James, Penn State's Joe Paterno, Oklahoma's Barry Switzer and Lehigh's John Whitehead.

"I think this was an outstanding event," said Wiles Hallock, executive director of the Pacific 8 Conference and chairman of the Promotion Committee, which sponsored the preview. "It provided the writers with the rare opportunity of having nine major coaches in one place at one time."

The program began Thursday with a breakfast, which was followed by remarks by James and Whitehead, the coaches of the year in Divisions I and II respectively.


The coaches then appeared before the media in groups of three, fielding questions from

the entire group. After that, the writers had the opportunity to talk to each of the coaches on a one-on-one basis.

Television and radio stations also had the chance to record the coaches' comments.

The discussions continued at dinner Thursday evening. On Friday morning, NCAA Executive Director Walter Byers joined with the coaches in a discussion of the state of college football.

"As was the case last year," Hallock said, "both the coaches and media indicated that this preview is an excellent idea. It not only promotes the college game, but it provides the writers with column and feature material that could last them until next fall."



Questions and Answers

Reporters from throughout the country had the opportunity to interview nine of the nation's finest football coaches at "College Football '78." At extreme left, Lehigh coach John Whitehead ponders the answer to a question while, at near left, Dave Campbell of the Waco Tribune-Herald takes notes from Oklahoma coach Barry Switzer. Above, Alabama coach Paul "Bear" Bryant talks football with a group of reporters including Tom Siler of the Knoxville News-Sentinel and Maury White of the Des Moines Register.

Coaches Want Strong Enforcement Program

When nine of the nation's outstanding football coaches join with 55 of the nation's most respected sportswriters, the result is going to be an interesting exchange of ideas and viewpoints.

That certainly was the case in Kansas City February 23-24 as the NCAA Promotion Committee brought the coaches and writers together for "College Football '78."

Predictably, the coaches had different outlooks on football. They did, after all, represent institutions varying greatly in size and mission.

For instance, some of the coaches were enthusiastic for a Division I playoff which would determine a national champion on the field. Others saw no reason to change the system as it stands now.

On the matter of enforcement, however, the coaches seemed to stand solidly in one corner: They want strong enforcement of the NCAA's rules.

That is not to say that the coaches cannot see room for improvement in the system. Alabama's Paul "Bear" Bryant said the NCAA should deal with violators on a swift-er basis. Texas A&M's Emory Bellard noted that the program likely would be more effective if the rules and regulations were written in more easily understood language. Indiana's Lee Corso said habitual violators should be drummed out of the profession.

But the point is that these coaches want a strong enforcement system. That's a message which too often is forgotten by those who do not serve on the "firing line" of college athletics. The National Association of Basketball Coaches was a major force in urging the present stepped-up enforcement activities of the NCAA and last month's message from a leadership group of the nation's football coaches sounded very much the same.

Opinions Out Loud

—Joe Doyle, sports editor

South Bend (Indiana) Tribune

"Schools now can redshirt athletes in the freshman year. Outwardly, this might seem to give an athlete five years of education in exchange for four seasons of varsity athletics, but it also opens the door for programs that will try an athlete during his freshman year and then cut him loose because there isn't room for him on the grant-in-aid list after one year.

"In simple language, we are back to one-year tryouts and tramp athletes, one of the problems that the NCAA was dedicated to solve. Oh, consistency, thou art indeed a jewel!"

—Gary Winton, Army basketball player

The Associated Press

"You need a heckuva lot of mental preparation and toughness to play basketball. Basketball helps sharpen the competitive spirit and, if you do your level best, you'll reach your maximum potential as a player.

"That carries to your off-court behavior, too. Basketball has made me use my initiative more, made me sharper and more aware both physically and mentally. The game gives you character."

—Bucky Waters, former basketball coach

Duke University

Greensboro Daily News

"I'm firmly convinced that there are some coaches whose makeup isn't suited to the job. They have a low threshold of anxiety, and they tend to space out during the course of a game. It's something not all of them can overcome with experience. But unless and until they make the adjustment, they must operate at a disadvantage against coaches who are calculating, clear-headed and suited to the job."

—Woody Hayes, head football coach.

Ohio State University

"I'm always amazed by the new trends and ideas in coaching. Of course, we're all pragmatists. If we see something that works, we're very likely to take it on. The only trouble with pragmatic thinking is it's really not a very philosophic viewpoint.

"In other words, you really don't find out what makes it go. You think it's a good idea because it works but you don't know exactly why it works, what the personnel was or the little intricacies that make it go. It looks good on the outside, but you don't know those little things about it . . . You can take on someone else's ideas, but if you don't know exactly what makes it work, until you take the bugs out it isn't going to work."

—Editorial

The Wall Street Journal

"At last report, HEW's Office of Civil Rights had told Chicago that the university (of Chicago) may have a hard time getting a \$1.7 million contract that NASA has been trying to give it. The problem is that under federal executive order, anyone getting a federal contract of a million dollars or more is subject to a special review to make sure its employment practices meet proper anti-

discrimination and affirmative action standards. HEW has just concluded that Chicago's policies and habits in this area are deficient, so the university is waiting uneasily to see what the department wants it to do to make up for this behavior.

"The university is right to be edgy: To judge by what the civil rights bureaucrats have imposed on other universities and what they've already done at Chicago itself, there's no reason to expect sensible treatment. For one thing, HEW has said that part of what it will take into account in the Chicago case will be its recent investigation of the Chicago Law School's hiring practices. The idea that it's necessary to have your law school shipshape in order to get a NASA contract is of course a sign of HEW's bureaucratic imperialism in the first place. But beyond that, the department's findings show a somewhat disturbing sense of how you demonstrate unreasonable employment discrimination at a place like Chicago.

"HEW determined, first of all, that it could use statistical analysis to describe the practices of a law faculty which has hired exactly five tenured senior members during the past five years. HEW has also encouraged wider recruitment of female candidates—but seemed shocked to discover that the wider you cast your recruitment net, the more new recruits you're likely to end up rejecting. Most of all, HEW did not like the sticky fact that hiring decisions at the law school are 'subjective,' depending on the judgment of colleagues and not just on things that are strictly comparable and quantifiable."

—Bob Johnson, ice hockey coach

University of Wisconsin

Chicago Tribune

"It boggles my mind to think about Chicago. You have seven million people in your metropolitan area. Some countries that are hockey powers don't have that many people! There's no question in my mind—the talent is there. It just has to be developed. . . .

"College hockey is a great show. The band. The cheerleaders on skates. The enthusiasm. And the game itself. You won't see anything in Chicago Stadium like the game we just played against Michigan Tech."

—Larry Albus, commissioner

Metro Seven Conference

The Louisville Courier-Journal

"Everyone is worried about finances and we're trying to help. We use our marketing department to sell not only the athletic programs but the institutions totally. Instead of complaining about the pros, we ought to take a page from them."

Columnary Craft

Reprinted below is an excerpt from the writing of a news columnist commenting pertinently about intercollegiate athletics. It is selected because the NCAA News feels it makes a point and discusses a topic which will interest readers. Publication herein, however, does not imply NCAA News endorsement of the views expressed by the author.

The Title IX Runaround

By BOB OLMSTEAD

Chicago Sun-Times

"Wait 'til next year," sports fans are fond of saying, and in high school physical education and sports, next year should be a lulu.

Next school year—or, more accurately, after July 21—is when the Department of Health, Education and Welfare has said that it will begin cracking down on sex discrimination in school sports, under penalty of cutting off federal aid.

No more Mr. Nice Person.

I recently spent several days trying to find out from HEW exactly what it will require of the schools, sport by sport. What I found can only be described as HEW arrogance, confusion and vague intimidation.

First, the Midwest six-state regional office of HEW was no help. It couldn't talk authoritatively to me or to schools, several sources there said, because it had strict orders: Only Washington does the talking.

The first HEW spokesman I reached in Washington was a high public information officer. She told me—definitely and incorrectly—that there is no special July deadline for enforcement.

Asked why there seems to be so much confusion among the high school administrators over the regulations, she said that HEW's Title IX regulations are perfectly clear. The problem is, she said, that when people don't want to obey the law, they always say the regulations are unclear.

However, she conceded, HEW policy hasn't always been clear.

The difference between regulations and policy, it turned out, is that the regulations contain all the shining ideals, such as ending sexual discrimination in sports, while policy addresses the pesky details like what HEW says the school must do to save its federal aid.

A simple question: Will high schools next year be allowed to field the two varsity track teams, one for boys and the other for girls?

"I'm sorry," she said, "I can't answer a hypothetical question like that over the telephone." A moment later she said she had received another phone call and hung up.

Second Opinion

Next, I asked Michael Middleton, HEW director of policy for the Office of Civil Rights, what high schools will be allowed to do next year in noncontact interscholastic sports, such as track. "As I understand it," began the director of policy, "both boys and girls have to be allowed to try out for formerly segregated noncontact sports."

In track, he said, this will mean that a school can have either one sex-integrated team or two teams—one A-level and the other B-level—arrived at only after judging the members by "objective standards of ability." He acknowledged that average male and female speed and strength being what it is, the A-level team would probably be mostly boys and the other mostly girls.

He himself, he said, hadn't been too good at sports in high school, and under such rules he probably would have ended up with the girls. "I would probably not have felt too good about it," he said.

He Said What?

Jack Roberts, a spokesman for the National Federation of State High School Associations, headquartered in Elgin, Ill., was asked for comment on HEW's intention to require either one co-ed team, on A-level and B-level teams selected by "objective standards of ability."

"That's absolutely silly," he said. "That's exactly what we've said all along will wreck women's sports. He's wrong. Their own regulations don't even say that. What's this man's name and telephone number?"

An hour later, HEW's Middleton telephoned saying there apparently had been some misunderstanding about HEW's stand on sports competition. In picking the A-level and B-level varsity teams, he said for the first time, the "objective standards of ability" will allow coaches to put all the males on the A-level team and all the females on the B-level team without tryouts. In other words, continue to have boys' and girls' teams, but rename them A-level and B-level teams.

What do the feminists think about this?

They rather like it, said Middleton. It saves the girls the humiliation of having to compete against generally faster and stronger boys.

Roberts was not sanguine about the flash of common sense. Interscholastic sports have been able to get more reasonable exceptions from HEW, he said, only because school athletic directors have squawked more than intramural sports and physical education directors.

"But the general truth," he said, "is that HEW has become more restrictive and more inflexible in their interpretations as time has gone on . . .

"Step by step, they've involved themselves in more and more of the decision-making of the schools so that finally all the program decisions are to be decided by what HEW wants and not by what the local school administrators want."

**NCAA
NEWS**

Executive Editor
David E. Cawood
Editor . . . David Pickle

Published by the National Collegiate Athletic Association, U. S. Highway 50 and Nall Avenue, P.O. Box 1906, Shawnee Mission, Kansas 66222. Phone (AC 913) 384-3220. Subscription Rate: \$9 annually.

Convention, Council Set Committee Assignments

Individuals to fill assignments to NCAA Committees and special appointments to other educational and athletic organizations have been approved by the Council and by delegates at the 72nd Convention in Atlanta, Georgia.

Following are appointments made to fill vacancies or expired terms. Unless noted, all terms become effective September 1, 1978. (Term expiration dates in parentheses.)

Council Appointments

Academic Testing and Requirements

Appointed: Robert F. Steidel Jr., University of California, Berkeley, replacing E. John Larsen, University of Southern California. Chairman: H. Boyd McWhorter, Southeastern Conference, replacing Larsen as chairman.

All-Star High School Games

Appointed: Hansel E. Tookes, Florida A&M University, replacing John J. Conboy, LaSalle College. Chairman: Harry H. Fouke, University of Houston.

Classification

Re-appointed: Edsel K. Schweizer, Luther College; Stan Sheriff, University of Northern Iowa; and Phillip R. Shriver, Miami (Ohio) University. Chairman: Louis A. Myers, University of Arizona.

Drug Education

Re-appointed: Daniel F. Hanley, M.D., Bowdoin College.

Appointed: Leonidas S. Epps, Clark College, replacing Donald L. Cooper, M.D. Chairman: Robert W. Pritchard, Worcester Polytechnic Institute.

Governmental Affairs

Re-appointed: Alan J. Chapman, Rice University. Chairman: F. A. Geiger, University of Pennsylvania.

Infractions

Appointed: Frank J. Remington, University of Wisconsin, Madison, replacing Arthur R. Reynolds, University of Northern Colorado. Chairman: John W. Sawyer, Wake Forest University.

Insurance

Appointed: Grant Osborn, University of Massachusetts, replacing Harold C. Krogh, University of Kansas. Chairman: Kenneth W. Herrick, Texas Christian University, also replacing Mr. Krogh.

International Relations

Re-appointed: Stan Bates, Western Athletic Conference; Ernest C. Casale, Temple University; Carl Maddox, Louisiana State University. Chairman: David L. Maggard, University of California, Berkeley, replacing Charles M. Neinas. Neinas remains a member of the committee.

Junior College Relations

Re-appointed: George E. Killian, National Junior College Athletic Association; Frank Bowman, California State University, Long Beach, replacing George F. Ilg, Fresno State University (retired), effective 2-1-78. Chairman: H. Boyd McWhorter, Southeastern Conference.

National Youth Sports Program

Re-appointed: Karl Kurth Jr., Trinity College (Connecticut); Vivian Stringer, Cheyney State College. Chairman: William Exum, Kentucky State University.

Postgraduate Scholarship

Re-appointed: Joe L. Singleton, University of California, Davis.

Appointed: Donald Dickason, Cornell University, replacing Kenneth N. Vickery, Clemson University. Chairman: Joe L. Singleton.

Professional Sports Liaison

Re-appointed: John W. Kaiser, St. John's University (New York); Robert Seddon, University of Pennsylvania.

Appointed: Wiles Hallock, Pacific-8 Conference, replacing Wayne Duke, Big 10 Conference. Chairman: J. D. Morgan, University of California, Los Angeles.

Promotion

Re-appointed: Don Baker, University of Kansas. Chairman: Wiles Hallock, Pacific-8 Conference.

Public Relations

Re-appointed: Wayne Shaw, California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo; Jack Zane, University of Maryland, College Park.

Appointed: John Morris, Pennsylvania State University, replacing William E. Esposito, St. John's University (New York); Tab Bennett, University of Illinois, replacing George Wine, University of Iowa. Chairman: Charles J. Thornton, University of Alabama.

Recruiting

Re-appointed: Robert C. James, Atlantic Coast Con-

ference; John Pont, Northwestern University. Chairman: Robert C. James.

Appointed: Joe Paterno Pennsylvania State University, completing the unexpired term of Mike White, formerly of the University of California, Berkeley.

Research

Appointed: Rex R. Grossart, California State University, Chico (9-1-79); James W. Mar, Massachusetts Institute of Technology (9-1-79); Rob Roy Purdy, Vanderbilt University (9-1-80); Rodney Schaefer, University of Missouri, Rolla (9-1-80); Fred Jacoby, chairman, Mid-American Conference (9-1-81); Mitchell H. Raiborn, Texas Tech University (9-1-81); Dana W. Swan, Haverford College (9-1-81).

Summer Baseball

Appointed: Charles Mink, Lincoln University (Missouri), replacing Jack Stallings, Georgia Southern College. Chairman: Clifton M. Speegle, Southwest Conference.

Television

Appointed: Robert A. Seiple, Brown University, effective immediately, replacing William J. Flynn, Boston College; Cecil N. Coleman, University of Illinois, Champaign, effective 9-1-78, replacing Donald B. Canham, University of Michigan; Darrell K. Royal, University of Texas, Austin, effective 9-1-78, replacing Wilbur Evans, Southwest Conference; Lyle H. Smith, Boise State University, replacing Robert A. Latour, Bucknell University, who becomes Division II Football Committee chairman.

Theodore Roosevelt Award Jury

Appointed: Richard W. Kazmaier, L&R Industries, Inc., replacing Howard H. Callaway, Crested Butte Resort; Sherwood O. Berg, South Dakota State University, replacing James Frank, Lincoln University (Missouri); Henry T. Lowe, University of Missouri, Columbia, replacing Fred Picard, Ohio University.

Top Ten Selection

Re-appointed: Frank L. Bare, U. S. Gymnastics Federation; Jesse Owens, Jesse Owens, Inc.; incoming presidents of the football and basketball writers' organizations automatically serve on the committee. Chairman: Robert F. Ray, University of Iowa.

Continued on page 6

Convention Elections

Baseball

Re-elected: Dick Berquist, University of Massachusetts (9-1-81); James A. Martin, Tuskegee Institute (9-1-80*); Don Schaly, Marietta College (9-1-81).

Newly elected: Richard Jones, Southern Illinois University, Carbondale (9-1-81); Jim Dietz, San Diego State University (9-1-80). Chairman: Donald K. Edwards, University of California, Riverside.

Basketball Rules

Re-elected: Kenneth Stibler, Biscayne College (9-1-81); M. Edward Wagner, California Collegiate Athletic Association (9-1-81*).

Newly elected: Tom Apke, Creighton University (9-1-81); Jerry T. Pimm, University of Utah (9-1-81). Chairman: Jack M. Thurnblad, Carleton College.

Division I Basketball

Re-elected: Wayne Duke, Big Ten Conference (9-1-81*); Kenneth Karr, San Diego State University (9-1-81*). Chairman: Wayne Duke.

Division II Basketball

Re-elected: Howard Davis, Tuskegee Institute (9-1-81*); Floyd Walker, Central Missouri State University (9-1-81*).

Division III Basketball

Re-elected: Russ DeVette, Hope College (9-1-81*); Leon Eastlack, Colorado College (9-1-81*).

Newly elected: Willie Shaw, Lane College, effective immediately, (9-1-79). Chairman: Paul M. Maaske, Cornell College.

Fencing

Re-elected: Stanley S. Sieja, Princeton University (9-1-81*).

Newly elected: Al Peredo, Baruch College (9-1-81).

Football Rules

Re-elected: Theo J. Heap, Mesa (Arizona) Community College (9-1-81*); William D. McHenry, Washington & Lee University (9-1-81*).

Newly elected: Rocco J. Carzo, Tufts University (9-1-81); Hugh D. Hindman, Ohio State University (9-1-81).

Division II Football

Re-elected: Lyle H. Smith, Boise State University (9-1-81*).

Newly elected: David M. Nelson, University of Delaware (9-1-81). Chairman: Lyle H. Smith.

Division III Football

Re-elected: J. William Grice, Case Western Reserve University (9-1-81*).

Golf

Re-elected: William D. Johnson, Dartmouth College (9-1-81); Roderick W. Myers, Duke University (9-1-81*). Chairman: Roderick W. Myers.

Gymnastics

Re-elected: William Ballester, University of Oregon (9-1-81*).

Newly elected: Jack Swartz, Wheaton College (9-1-81).

Ice Hockey

Re-elected: William J. Cleary, Jr., Harvard University (9-1-81*); John P. Matchetts, United States Air Force Academy (9-1-81*).

Newly elected: J. Thom Lawler, Merrimack College, effective immediately, (9-1-81).

Lacrosse

Re-elected: Paul J. Doherty, Adelphi University (9-1-81*).

Newly elected: Chairman: Mortimer LaPointe, Bowdoin College.

Skiing

Re-elected: Myron Gallagher, Northern Michigan University (9-1-81).

Newly elected: Tom Parac, Montana State University (9-1-81).

Soccer

Re-elected: W. Clyde Partin, Emory University (9-1-81*); Bill Shellenberger, Lynchburg College (9-1-81*).

Newly elected: Dave Chaplik, University of Santa Clara (9-1-81); Tom Griffith, Dartmouth College (9-1-81); Robert J. Zifchak, Middlesex County Junior College (9-1-81).

Swimming

Re-elected: James E. Councilman, Indiana University (9-1-81*); Richard W. Gilbert, Cornell University (9-1-81*); Glen F. Henry, University of Northern Iowa (9-1-81*).

Newly elected: Prentice Ryan, Oakland Community College (9-1-81).

Tennis

Re-elected: Dick LeFevre, Southern Illinois University, Carbondale (9-1-81).

Newly elected: William Wright, University of California, Berkeley (9-1-81).

Track and Field

Re-elected: Joseph G. DuCharme, Dickinson College (9-1-81); Cleburne Price Jr., University of Texas, Austin (9-1-81*).

Newly elected: Ted Banks, University of Texas, El Paso (9-1-81); David B. Tuuk, Calvin College (9-1-81).

Volleyball

Re-elected: Thomas W. Hay, Springfield College (9-1-79*).

Newly elected: Donald Shondell, Ball State University (9-1-81*). Chairman: Albert E. Negratti, University of California, Santa Barbara.

Water Polo

Re-elected: Jerry Hinsdale, University of California, Davis (9-1-81); James R. Sprague, Sunny Hills High School (9-1-81).

Newly elected: Lee Arth, Rio Hondo Junior College, effective immediately, (9-1-79).

Wrestling

Re-elected: Lonnie D. Timmerman, Drake University (9-1-81*).

Newly elected: Ade L. Sponberg, North Dakota State University (9-1-81); Barron Bremner, Coe College (9-1-81); Al Abraham, San Francisco State University (9-1-81). Chairman: John K. Johnston, Princeton University.

Competitive Safeguards and Medical Aspects of Sports

Re-elected: Russell Lane, M.D., Amherst College (9-1-81).

Newly elected: Jim Arnold, M.D., University of Arkansas, effective immediately, (9-1-81); Dr. Frederick O. Mueller, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill (9-1-81); Frank J. Remington, University of Wisconsin, Madison, effective immediately, (9-1-80); Joe Paterno, Pennsylvania State University, effective immediately, (9-1-79).

Constitution and Bylaws

Newly elected: Alan J. Chapman, Rice University (9-1-81). Chairman: Stanley J. Marshall, South Dakota State University (9-1-81).

Extra Events

Re-elected: Ernest C. Casale, Temple University (9-1-81*); Elroy L. Hirsch, University of Wisconsin, Madison (9-1-81); Robert M. Whitelaw, East Coast Athletic Conference (9-1-81*).

*Not eligible for reelection.

The Division III Philosophy

Central College President Ken Weller examines the many factors that make Division III unique and useful.

EDITOR'S NOTE: *The Steering Committee of Division III used the Divisional Round Table of the annual meeting as a forum for the consideration of a broad athletic philosophy for the NCAA and the particular role of Division III within the structure. President Ken Weller of Central College, Pella, Iowa, a member of the Committee, presented an analysis which is summarized below. It has implication for all divisions. In addition to his years as a president, Dr. Weller coached football in Division III for many years, served as the faculty athletic representative and as Professor of Economics and Business at Hope College.*

As the members of the Division III Steering Committee worked together in the past year we became very conscious of the need for a unifying philosophy. We felt compelled to talk about who we are and what we are trying to do as an integral part of any consideration of specific legislation. In that process we established a perspective on athletics that has been helpful to us. We would like to share it with you.

It provides only the beginning of a philosophical approach. A true divisional philosophy, if it is to come, will be hammered out and established in the process of developing legislation and working together over a period of years. Nonetheless, we feel the ideas will be provocative and helpful as each of us considers a particular athletic philosophy for our own college and a corporate philosophy for our division as we act in concert within the NCAA.

Every educational institution has two sets of objectives. One familiar set deals with what we are seeking to do in serving our students. A second equally valid set deals with our attempts to serve society broadly, going beyond current students to a larger constituency—the state, the nation, society — however you choose to describe it.

Broad Constituency

The idea that we seek to serve the young people on our campuses is self-evident. What needs attention is the fact that we serve a broader constituency. We have neglected this fact in the past.

A state institution maintains a school of medicine. Why? To train a particular group of aspiring physicians, but also to provide for the health and welfare of the constituents of that state.

A large state institution has an enormous library with an outstanding collection. Why? To serve the current crop of students, but also to provide resources for the general public of that area.

A college or university spon-

sors a series of cultural events. Why? To enrich the lives of students, but also to serve the surrounding community.

The very essence of the rationale for low tuition and state subsidy of education is found in the simple logic that public benefit justifies public support. If benefits were inclusively personal, public support would be more difficult to obtain. A major benefit accrues to society through the crucial role higher education plays in forming and shaping culture. Acting as both sustainer and critic, the university bears heavy responsibility for who we are and what we become.

The foregoing examples serve to clarify the idea that serving the broad non-student constituency is a legitimate and pervasive objective of education but let me cite one further example, one closely analogous to the situation facing athletics — the classic confrontation between teaching and research. Teaching emphasizes service to students. Research, however, is oriented primarily to the service of society.

Teaching Emphasis

Many Division III institutions take particular pride in their teaching emphasis, assigning less interest to research. Many major universities on the other hand place great emphasis on the development of new knowledge, research for its own sake—not for the students—but for the development of technology and science in the service of society.

Research programs often take on an autonomous existence. Financing is obtained from outside. Separate budgets are established. Personnel are hired and promoted who may never see a student. Despite these unusual arrangements research is certainly regarded as a valuable and legitimate part of the institution.

An institution's attitude toward research shapes its nature. For example, in a "research" institution, "publish or perish" may be the key to faculty advancement but in a "teaching" institution, student evaluations are more important. A host of similar situations make it clear that the balance that is struck between research and teaching does much to establish the special identity and character of an institution.

The logical progression in this line of reasoning is to assert that an athletic program may have two sets of objectives. It can serve the objectives of the participants—the learners—the students. It can also serve the interest of the general public—the spectators, the constituency, the society.

Countless coaches in after dinner speeches have extolled the value of athletics for the participants. This rationale need not be elaborated here (although a grain

or two of salt may be in order). Few, however, have addressed the role of athletics in serving society as a whole. Although the provision of entertainment in an important aspect of this role, it certainly is not the whole story. Recent studies of the sociological, psychological, and cultural role of sports make it clear that sport is important to our society. It is a major determinant of our national character and our cultural heritage. Some people deplore this fact, others applaud, but the reality is inescapable. Sport is an essential and integral part of our corporate existence. People want it to be so, appreciate it, and supply the resources to make it possible.

Responding to this well-established need, many educational institutions have developed programs designed to provide what people are seeking. Others have gone beyond passive response to act positively in meeting the responsibility of using the extraordinary influence of sports as an avenue for molding and shaping a better society. Rarely, however, have universities articulated a philosophy which focuses on societal objectives. They have chosen, instead, to legitimize their programs by reference to participant objectives. This is unfortunate! Somehow it seems totally inadequate, if not ludicrous, to justify a 100,000 seat stadium as a means of teaching young men about the game of life.

A persuasive and logical case can and should be made for an athletic program based on societal objectives. Like research, athletics can be somewhat autonomous in its organization and financial from outside but like research it can and should be regarded as an integral part of the mission of the institution.

Different Objectives

It seems clear that the decision regarding the relative emphasis placed on the two different types of objectives does much to establish the special identity and character of an institution. Similarly, it can serve as a basis for distinguishing the divisions of the NCAA. It can be claimed that, in general, Division I institutions place greater emphasis on "societal" objectives. Division III institutions concentrate on "participant" objectives. Division II institutions are likely to fall in between.

A better understanding of the approach and the procedures of each division can be achieved if the implications of the differences in objectives are analyzed. Some examples may be helpful.

Autonomy-Integration—A Division I university would in all likelihood develop a more autonomous organization for its specialized programs than a Division III college in which athletics is integrated as one of

many programs developed to serve students directly.

Financing—Division I programs would be financed largely from outside revenues; Division III programs would be financed internally and have a budget established and controlled as part of the general budget.

Student-Athletes—The person described in NCAA literature as the student-athlete could escape the schizophrenic role and become primarily an athlete in Division I, receiving special treatment in financial aid, living-eating arrangements, tutoring, etc. But in Division III the student-athlete would be primarily a student, living and eating in undifferentiated accommodations, working with campus-wide tutoring programs and, of particular importance, receiving financial aid in ways and in amounts consistent with provisions for all other students. In general there would be no favoritism shown nor would athletic participation disqualify a person for aid that would be available to him on non-athletic grounds.

Faculty—Division I institutions would probably have full time coaches whose assignments and compensation arrangements would be separate from the regular faculty while Division III would hire regular faculty and establish compensation, promotion, and rights of tenure consistent with faculty appointments.

Title IX—The demand for equal treatment of men's and women's sports is based on the assumption of participant objectives. One participant clearly deserves the same treatment as another. The validity of societal objectives opens up a whole new dimension. Differing support for programs in Division I could be based on the rationale that although all participants are equally deserving, distinctions are based on different capacity to meet societal objectives. Attendance figures could be sighted as factual evidence. From this standpoint football, a "society serving" sport, might be given large dollar support while men's

athletes from similar institutions and to progress in championships to the level of their ability.

TV Contract—The substantial amount of funds involved in the NCAA TV contract would represent for Division I teams an indication of success in achieving their societal objectives. There need be no apology or embarrassment. For an institution of Division III to profit from participation, however, could be inconsistent. Participating institutions from Division III should have liberal expense allowances but sharing significantly in revenues arising from the entertainment provided for TV audiences by Division I programs would seem inappropriate. The current pattern of using TV revenues to assist individual participants in Division III championships on the contrary would seem wise and consistent with the proposed philosophical stance.

Philosophical Basis

The foregoing analysis is not intended to be a description of reality. It uses assumptions and hypothetical situations and is certainly too simplistic.

In the real world, each institution and division must continually seek its own balance of objectives and certainly none can choose one set exclusively. To do so would court disaster. A disaster for those who ignore student objectives illustrated by athletes with four years of competition and no interest in graduation. A disaster for those who ignore the outside world illustrated in the deterioration of morale and constituency support when incompetence and buffoonery exist in the midst of general excellence. Thoughtful and responsible institutions may emphasize one approach but will do everything possible to maximize the other.

The Steering Committee hopes that these ideas can assist individual institutions to find their own peculiar balance of educational objectives and facilitate the development of a philosophical basis for the NCAA and its separate divisions. We are well

"A persuasive and logical case can and should be made for an athletic program based on societal objectives."

tennis, women's tennis and lacrosse would be lower but equal. Such an approach would have distinct rhetorical and philosophical advantages permitting the replacement of the somewhat crass references to special treatment for "revenue-producing" sports with a positive philosophical statement of non-sexist objectives. For Division III, however, where the participants are emphasized in all aspects of the athletic program an aggressive program for equalization of sports for men and women would seem to be essential, consistent, and much more feasible.

Role of NCAA—For Division I institutions the NCAA would serve as a key agent in relating to the public to which their objectives point them. The NCAA establishing the rules of various sports, negotiating TV contracts, controls post-season competition, assists in developing regulations and acts as the enforcement agency. The enormous dollar "pay-off" possible in major sports creates a serious threat of destructive, cut-throat competition in recruiting, illicit financial aid, etc. The role of the NCAA as a form of regulatory agency is extremely vital if the kind of programs desired by the public are to be provided honestly and sensibly.

For Division III the NCAA's primary role is to provide for the participants a chance to compete fairly and effectively with

aware that these ideas are tentative and subject to modification but we are hopeful that they can provide a framework for moving ahead, developing the procedures and regulations that will encompass and clarify our goals and objectives in the years ahead. To that end a philosophical approach that places a large relative emphasis on the participant seems constructive and hopeful for Division III.

Finding a Balance

As Division III institutions work together in the future a key consideration will be to find a balance between the approach of the idealist and the approach of the cynic.

The idealist will say, "We are dealing with very complex issues, difficult to codify and difficult to enforce. Let's agree on broad constitutional principles and place primary reliance on trusting each other to exercise self-discipline."

The cynic will say, "That sounds good but it won't work. We need to get specific about what's unacceptable and use the enforcement clout of the NCAA to gain uniform compliance."

The ultimate resolution of these conflicting points of view is of utmost importance. The Steering Committee sees no simple answers at this point but requests your assistance and urges your consideration of the issues and problems involved.

Timetable for Mexico

Wrestling

Division II

Dates: April 5 (Wednesday), 6 (Thursday), 7 (Friday), 8 (Saturday).

Official Party Size: 10 participants, four non-participants (coach, team leader, trainer and referee).

Selection Procedure: Top finisher in each weight at Division II championship. Team champion coach will serve as head coach.

Format: United States collegiate weights (118 pounds, 126 pounds, 134 pounds, 142 pounds, 150 pounds, 158 pounds, 167 pounds, 177 pounds, 190 pounds and unlimited).

Basketball

Divisions II and III

Dates: April 8 (Saturday), 9 (Sunday), 10 (Monday), 11 (Tuesday).

Official Party Size: 16 participants, five non-participants (two coaches, team leader, trainer and referee).

Selection Procedure: At least one player would be selected from each of the four finalists in the Division II and Division III championships with the other eight players to be selected by the two coaches who would be the coaches of the divisional team champions from the 32 teams competing in the divisional championships. The team will be allowed three days of practice in Mexico City prior to the competition. Four players would be selected from each division's championships giving each division eight selections.

Format: International rules.

Gymnastics

Division I

Dates: April 12 (Wednesday), 13 (Thursday), 14 (Friday).

Official Party Size: Eight participants, three non-participants (coach, team leader and judge).

Selection Procedure: Top eight (8) finishers in the Division I championship all-around competition. Team champion coach will serve as head coach.

Format: All-around competition.

Swimming

Division II

Dates: April 15 (Saturday), 16 (Sunday), 17 (Monday).

Official Party Size: 30 participants, five non-participants (two coaches, team leader, trainer and official).

Selection Procedure: Top finisher in Division II championship. First- and second-place team coaches will serve as coaches.

Format: Short course (50-yard freestyle, 100-yard freestyle, 200-yard freestyle, 500-yard freestyle, 1,650-yard freestyle, 100-yard butterfly, 200-yard butterfly, 100-yard backstroke, 200-yard backstroke, 100-yard breaststroke, 200-yard breaststroke, 200-yard individual medley, 400-yard individual medley, one-meter diving, three-meter diving, 400-yard freestyle relay, 800-yard freestyle relay and 400-yard medley relay).

Volleyball

Division I

Dates: May 11 (Thursday), 12 (Friday), 13 (Saturday) or May 18 (Thursday), 19 (Friday), 20 (Saturday).

Official Party Size: 15 participants, five non-

participants (two coaches, team leader, trainer and referee).

Selection Procedure: Team champion or highest finishing team in championship. Team champion head coach and assistant coach will serve as coaches.

Tennis

Division II

Dates: June 11 (Sunday), 12 (Monday), 13 (Tuesday), 14 (Wednesday).

Official Party Size: Eight participants, two non-participants (coach and team leader).

Selection Procedure: Top place finishers, including doubles team champion, in Division II championship. Team champion coach will serve as head coach.

Format: Clay courts.

Track & Field

Division II

Dates: June 15 (Thursday), 16 (Friday), 17 (Saturday).

Official Party Size: 42 participants, seven non-participants (four coaches, team leader and two trainers).

Selection Procedure: Top place finisher in Division II championship and head coaches of top three finishing teams. Head coach will be coach of team champion.

Format: 100 meter, 200 meter, 400 meter, 800-meter run, 1,500-meter run, 5,000-meter run, 10,000-meter run, 110-meter high hurdles, 400-meter intermediate hurdles, 3,000-meter steeplechase, high jump, long jump, pole vault, discus, shot put, javelin, hammer, triple jump, 400-meter relay, 1,600-meter relay and decathlon.

Baseball

Division II

Dates: June 18 (Sunday), 19 (Monday), 20 (Tuesday), 21 (Wednesday).

Official Party Size: 22 participants, five non-participants (two coaches, team leader, trainer and umpire).

Selection Procedure: The team would be selected from the eight teams advancing to the Division II championship. The coaches would be from the first- and second-place teams. The coaches, with the NCAA Division II Baseball Subcommittee chairman, would be responsible for selecting the participants. At least one player would be selected from the eight finalists and a maximum number from any one team would be established.

Football

Division III

Date: December 30 (Saturday).

Official Party Size: 45 participants, eight non-participants (four coaches, team leader, trainer, manager and official).

Selection Procedure: 45 players from the eight participating teams in the Division III championship will be selected by a committee consisting of the first- and second-place teams' coaches and the coaches of the two losing semifinals teams and the four-man NCAA Division III committee. At least one player would be selected from the eight participating teams and a maximum number from any one team would be established. The first- and second-place team coaches and the coaches of the two losing semifinals teams would serve as the coaching staff.

Mexican Competition To Begin in April

The NCAA has approved international competition with Mexico in nine sports beginning in April.

Originally, the Executive Committee approved competition in seven sports during its January 10 meeting, but Mexico later desired competition in two additional sports. The officers, acting for the committee, approved the revised plan.

The schedule is:

April—Wrestling, basketball, gymnastics and swimming.

May—Volleyball.

June—Tennis, baseball, track and field.

December—Football.

The competition will be financed by the National Institute of Sports for Mexico (NISM), a government organization headed by Guillermo Lopez Portillo, a

cousin of the Mexican president.

As a result of a recent meeting with the NISM officials, the competition primarily will involve NCAA all-star teams from Division II and Division III championships competing against collegiate all-star or university teams from Mexico and other countries.

NCAA student-athletes selected to participate in the competition must be eligible under NCAA rules as well as the rules of the national governing body of the appropriate sport. The Mexican competitors and any other country's participants must be full-time undergraduate students and be eligible under the amateur rules of their national governing bodies. The NISM plans to work only with the NCAA in establishing international collegiate competition.

Wrestling Coaches Supporting USWF

The Executive Committee of the National Wrestling Coaches Association has voted unanimously to support the United States Wrestling Federation in its challenge of the AAU Wrestling Division as the governing body of the sport.

The committee issued the following statement:

"The NWCA Executive Committee, representing 2,450 members, feels the USWF best represents the interest of the sport of wrestling and the people actively involved in the sport.

"The USWF has provided the NWCA and all other major national wrestling organizations a direct voice and vote in the development of its programs and policies, thereby establishing the most comprehensive and cohesive efforts for the development of international style wrestlers in the United States.

"Because the USWF has proven its ability to effectively and efficiently administer the international style wrestling programs in this country by its complete involvement with wrestling people at all levels and all styles, and because this has directly resulted in the improvement of the quality of our athletes, the NWCA has been most receptive to financially supporting their projects and programs.

"In contrast, the present governing body for wrestling, the AAU Wrestling Division, has completely disassociated itself from the vast majority of the

wrestling people in this country. By its actions and deeds, the AAU has lost its credibility with these people actively involved in the international style programs.

"The policies and programs that at the present time directly affect the wrestlers who represent the United States in international competition are formed and administered by a very small group of individuals who have no direct ties with the vast majority of the athletes or the facilities in which these athletes are trained. This situation leads to the AAU Wrestling Division's inability to maintain a comprehensive program.

"Whereas, the USWF provides an organizational structure by which all actively involved individuals and organizations can be represented, and

"It has established credibility with the wrestling people through its programs, and

"It has been openly accountable for its finances and policies, and

"It has developed the most comprehensive and effective national programs for the development of international style wrestling,

"Therefore, the NWCA Executive Committee strongly recommends the American Arbitration Association to remove the AAU Wrestling Division as the governing body for wrestling in this country and grant the USWF Group A membership in USOC."

Doug Mead Joins Staff

Douglas D. Mead, 29, has joined the staff of the NCAA publishing department as publications editor with primary responsibility for procurement and editing of the Association's official guide series.

Mead assumes the duties formerly performed by Sheila Flanagan, who left the Association after three years to enter private business.

A 1971 graduate from the University of North Carolina journalism school, Mead was awarded a master's degree in mass communication at the University of South Carolina in December 1977.

He also brings three years of experience as a sportswriter for the Raleigh, North Carolina, News and Observer. As a graduate student at South Carolina,



DOUG MEAD

Mead also taught writing labs for the introductory public relations classes.

Mead is a native of Newark Valley, New York.

New Book

A new NCAA publication entitled *Metrics in Sports* is now available. The 32-page book features diagrams for metric conversion in each sport for which the NCAA publishes rules of play and also provides a discussion regarding the approach to metrication in each of those sports. The book also includes a comprehensive conversion chart for track and field marks. Copies of the publication are available for \$3 each from the NCAA Publishing Service, Box 1906, Shawnee Mission, Kansas 66222.



Metrics and Sports

National Collegiate Athletic Association

Note: Publication of an interpretation in this column constitutes official notice to the membership. New O.I.s printed herein may be reviewed by the annual Convention at the request of any member. Questions concerning these or other O.I.s should be directed to William B. Hunt, assistant executive director, at the Association's national office.

Permissible Expenses

Situation: A magazine or other media enterprise wishes to provide transportation expenses to a student-athlete to travel to a particular location for purposes of taking a photograph or writing a story about the student-athlete. (515)

Question: May the student-athlete accept such expenses?

Answer: No. NCAA legislation would prohibit the receipt of such expenses by the student-athlete, unless the photograph or story is in conjunction with his receipt of an established award at that location. [C3-1-(a)-(3) and 3-1-(g)-(2)]

Aid for Books

Situation: Constitution 3-1-(g)-(1) permits the institutional award of financial assistance for course-related books. It does not set a dollar limitation on the amount of aid which may be awarded for this purpose. Bylaw 5-5-(g)-(1) sets a limit of \$150 per award for course-related books in Divisions I and II for purposes of determining the maximum amount of financial aid which may be provided in a particular sport. (498)

Question: Is a Division I or II member institution limited under Constitution 3-1-(g)-(1) to the \$150 limitation for an individual grant-in-aid recipient?

Answer: No. [C3-1-(g)-(1)]

Recruiting by Student-Athletes

Situation: An institution is recruiting a prospective student-athlete. (451)

Question: Is it permissible for a student-athlete enrolled in the institution to telephone the prospective student-athlete for purposes of recruitment at the expense and authorization of the institution?

Answer: Yes, provided the call is for the purpose of recruitment only and with full knowledge of the department of athletics in each instance. The cost-free use of a telephone or credit card for personal reasons of the student-athlete would not be permissible [C3-1-(g)-(5)]

Foreign Tour—Season of Eligibility

Situation: Competition by a student-athlete representing his institution in international competition during the summer vacation period would not affect his seasons of eligibility under Bylaw 4-1-(d)-(4) if the competition has been approved by the Department of State and sanctioned by the NCAA Council. (518)

Question: If a student-athlete represents his institution's team on an approved foreign tour which takes place between seasons in his sport, and the student-athlete did not participate (although he was eligible) during the season immediately preceding the tour, would his participation on the foreign tour count as a season of eligibility under Bylaw 4-1-(d)-(1)?

Answer: Yes. Such participation would be counted as a season of eligibility for the preceding season. [B4-1-(d)-(1)]

... Appointments

Continued from page 3

U. S. Baseball Federation

Appointed: Donald K. Edwards, University of California, Riverside, replacing Kal Segrist, Texas Tech University.

U. S. Department of State Advisory Panel on International Athletics

Re-appointed: John Thompson, Georgetown University.

U. S. Gymnastics Federation

Re-appointed: Jerry A. Miles, NCAA; Don R. Robinson, Arizona State University; William Roetzheim, University of Illinois, Chicago Circle.

U. S. Track and Field Federation

Re-appointed: Walter Byers, NCAA; Donald B. Canham, University of Michigan; David L. Maggard, University of California, Berkeley; Bill McClure, Louisiana State University; Dwight T. Reed, Lincoln University (Missouri); John H. Randolph, U. S. Military Academy.

U. S. Volleyball Association Board of Directors

Re-appointed: Allen E. Scates, University of California, Los Angeles; Donald S. Shondell, Ball State University; Walter G. Versen, University of Illinois, Chicago Circle.

U. S. Wrestling Federation

Appointed: John K. Johnston, Princeton University, replacing Charles A. Patten, University of Northern Iowa.

Re-appointed: Jerry A. Miles, NCAA.

Women's Intercollegiate Athletics

Re-appointed: William Exum, Kentucky State University; Mel R. Sheehan, University of Missouri, Columbia.

Appointed: Alvin J. Van Wie, College of Wooster, replacing Robert M. Strimer, Ohio Wesleyan University (retired), effective immediately. Chairman: Edward S. Betz, University of the Pacific.

DELEGATES TO OTHER ORGANIZATIONS

(Appointments for one-year terms effective September 1, 1978, except where noted.)

National Football Foundation and Hall of Fame

Appointed: Robert D. Karnes, Drake University, to three-year term replacing Edwin B. Crowder, University of Colorado; Homer C. Rice, Rice University, to three-year term replacing Darrell K. Royal, University of Texas, Austin. Chairman: Lester H. Dye, Syracuse University, also replacing Crowder.

Helmets Must Be Recertified

The NCAA Football Rules Committee has adopted a rule which will require that a football helmet reconditioned after May 1, 1978, must be reconditioned according to NOCSAE recertification procedures or it cannot be worn by an NCAA student-athlete during the 1978 season.

The May 1 compliance date was established to allow a sufficient amount of time to inform the NCAA membership of the new requirement and to provide a grace period for those reconditioning firms not utilizing NOCSAE recertification procedures so they may incorporate the NOCSAE tests into their operations.

The NCAA Football Rules have contained a provision since 1976 which stated that commencing with the start of fall practice in 1978 all football helmets worn must have met the NOCSAE Football Helmet Standard test requirements at the time of manufacture. Member institutions have had two years to achieve compliance with this requirement. The recent addition to the rules now includes the requirement that helmets recertified after May 1 must be NOCSAE recertified.

Encouraging Results

The effect of the NOCSAE program has provided some encouraging results as indicated in a comparison study of injuries in two seven-year periods, 1964 to 1970 and 1971 to 1977. The annual fatality incidence among high school students during the period 1964-1970 (prior to NOCSAE) was 1.56 per 100,000 athletes. During the NOCSAE period of 1971-1977, the fatality incidence declined to 0.76 per 100,000 athletes. The 51 per cent reduction could be attributed in part to other factors such as changes in coaching techniques and rules; however, the influence of the NOCSAE program was certainly a key factor in the reduction of fatalities.

Any questions concerning the NOCSAE program should be directed to Dennis Poppe, NCAA assistant director of events, at the national office. In response to questions which have been asked about the NOCSAE program, the following answers have been provided by the Football Rules Committee and NOCSAE:

Q: What penalty will be imposed if an athlete is not wearing a helmet which has passed the NOCSAE Football Helmet Standard tests?

A: If it is determined a player is not wearing a NOCSAE-certified helmet, he will be required to leave the game. To return legally, he must wear a NOCSAE-certified helmet. If the player returns repeatedly not wearing a NOCSAE-certified helmet, he will be disqualified for unsportsmanlike conduct and his team penalized 15 yards.

The responsibility for insuring that proper equipment is being worn rests with the institution and its coaches, trainers and equipment managers.

Q: When does a student-athlete have to wear a helmet which has been NOCSAE-recertified?

A: All NCAA student-athletes must wear a helmet which has been reconditioned according to NOCSAE recertification procedures if the helmet was reconditioned after May 1, 1978. Helmets which were reconditioned before that date need not be recertified according to NOCSAE procedures. This grace period has been provided to insure all institutions have been made aware of the recertification requirement and to allow reconditioning companies not presently using NOCSAE recertification procedures sufficient time to incorporate the recertification tests into their operations.

Q: Can a waiver be obtained to extend the deadline to wear NOCSAE-certified helmets past the 1978 season?

A: No. The requirement that student-athletes would have to wear NOCSAE-certified helmets was first mentioned in the 1976

NCAA Football Rules and adequate time was given member institutions to insure compliance with the regulation. Definite improvements have been made in the design and construction of football helmets and much of the credit can be given to the voluntary adoption of the NOCSAE test standard by the helmet manufacturers. It is believed that as a result of the adoption of the NOCSAE test standard, the number of football injuries and fatalities due to head injuries has decreased significantly.

Q: When a face mask is replaced in compliance with the manufacturer's guidelines, does a helmet lose its NOSCOE certification?

A: The NOCSAE Football Helmet Standard does not include the testing of helmets with face masks. Generally speaking, the attachment of a face mask according to manufacturer's instructions would not require that the helmet be recertified. In some cases, it has been determined that the face mask improves the severity index rating of the helmet. However, if in the attachment of the mask, large holes are drilled or there is a modification of the original design of the helmet, then it probably should be recertified. Again, it is the discretion and responsibility of the institution and its employees to determine if the helmet should be recertified.

Q: Which reconditioners may recertify previously NOCSAE-certified helmets?

A: Any reconditioning firm which follows the NOCSAE testing procedure, either with its own testing equipment or through an independent laboratory, may recertify helmets.

CERTIFICATIONS

ALL-STAR BASKETBALL

The following games have been certified by the NCAA Extra Events Committee in accordance with NCAA Bylaw 2-3:

Portsmouth Invitational, March 24-25, Portsmouth, Virginia

NABC East-West Game, March 26, St. Louis, Missouri.

Big Apple Classic, March 29, New York, New York.

Virginia/North Carolina Showdown, March 30, Richmond, Virginia

Pizza Hut Classic, April 1, Las Vegas, Nevada

All-Arkansas Classic, April 3, Arkadelphia, Arkansas.

Aloha Classic, April 6-8, Honolulu, Hawaii.

Indiana Sertoma Charities Game, April 15, Indianapolis, Indiana.

THE NCAA RECORD

A roundup of current membership activities, personnel changes and Directory information

DIRECTORS OF ATHLETICS

BILL ROHR resigned at Ohio ... **MEL SHEEHAN** resigned at Missouri ... **MICHAEL N. HUNTER** resigned effective July 1, 1978 at Northwest Missouri State ... **PHILIP J. MORSE** leaves Kenyon to assume duties at Coe.

COACHES

BASEBALL — **CHARLES N. ROYS** replaces **ARCHIE ALLEN** at Springfield, Allen will retire at end of season.

BASKETBALL — **FRED OVERTON** resigned at Murray State ... **JAMES OLIVERS** replaces **FLOYD LAISURE** at Alabama State ... **TRACY TRIPUCKA** replaces **DICK STEWART** at Fordham ... **JOHN V. SCHALOW** resigned at Morehead State ... **JOHN BACH** resigned at Penn State.

FOOTBALL — **MIKE STOCK** named at Eastern Michigan ... **RAY GREEN** named at North Carolina Central ... **ART BAKER** named at Citadel ... **RICHARD TRESSEL** named at Hamline ... **STAN PARRISH** succeeds **FRANK NAVARRO** at Wabash, Navarro named at Princeton ... **GEORGE MACINTYRE** resigned at Tennessee-Martin to join staff at Mississippi ... **GARY R. FALLON** re-

placed **WILLIAM D. McHENRY** at Washington & Lee, McHenry will remain as AD ... **WILLIAM E. MOLL** named at Susquehanna ... **BARRY H. STREETER** named at Gettysburg ... **TOM REED** replaces **DICK CRUM** at Miami (Ohio), Crum resigned to take position at North Carolina ... **DICK SHERIDAN** named at Furman ... **VESTER NEWCOMB** named at Tennessee-Martin.

GOLF—**ROBERT H. FOREMAN** named at LYcoming.

SWIMMING—**JANET MOLDENHAUER** succeeds **JIM DAVIES** at Wisconsin-Oshkosh who will resume duties as women's tennis coach.

WRESTLING—**NEAL LINEMAN** named at Thiel.

STAFF

SPORTS INFORMATION — **PESKY HILL** named at Texas Christian.

DEATHS

PAUL GOVERNALL, 56, former Columbia All-American quarterback, in San Diego February 15 after a long illness ... **GEORGE COLE**, 71, former Arkansas football player and later the Razorbacks' AD ... **FESSOR LEON-**

ARD, 26, former basketball star at Furman, on or near February 18 in Lugano, Switzerland ... **SILVIO NAPOLEON VITALE**, 67, former M.I.T. fencing coach, February 7 in Melbourne, Florida, after a brief illness.

NCAA DIRECTORY CHANGES

District 4—Michigan State University: **Edgar L. Harden** (Acting Pres.)

District 6—Northwestern Louisiana State University: **Rene J. Bienvenu** (P); Texas Tech University: **Frank W. Elliott** (F).

Allied—Eastern Wrestling League: **Walter Cummins**, University of Pittsburgh (S); delete Buffalo State University; add Cleveland State College and West Virginia University.

Northern California Baseball Association: **G. B. Wyness**, 51 Oak Knoll Loop, Walnut Creek, California 94596 — 415/939-6325 (Commissioner).

Affiliated — College Divisions Commissioners Association: **Ervin C. Delman**, Far Western Conference, 37 Turquoise Way, San Francisco, California 94131 (President).

CoSIDA Selects Academic All-America Team

Three "straight A" scholars and a trio of players from Notre Dame's national championship team highlight the 46-man roster of the 1977 university division academic all-America football team.

In the college division, 11 repeaters from last year's squad, including five "straight A" students, are included.

The 26th annual squad once again was selected by vote of the members of the College Sports Information Directors of America (CoSIDA). The project was handled for CoSIDA by the sports information office at the University of Illinois.

University Division

The three athletes achieving

perfect 4.00 grade-point averages in the university division are offensive end Robert Fabris, a University of Mississippi business major; kicker Greg Martin, a Vanderbilt University economics major and linebacker Jeff Lewis, a University of Georgia pre-law major.

The Notre Dame standouts who are first-team selections include tight end Ken MacAfee (3.31), offensive guard Dave Vinson (3.96) and safety Joe Restic (3.70), all pre-med majors.

Nine student-athletes chosen to the 1977 team also had been accorded similar honors in previous years. Arizona's Jon Abbott, a defensive tackle, won first-team laurels for the third

consecutive season, recording a 3.89 grade-point average in pre-med. Four others also are first-team repeaters including University of Houston offensive tackle Kevin Rollwage (3.80), The Citadel linebacker Kenny Caldwell (3.89), Texas A&M linebacker Kevin Monk (3.80) and University of Nebraska defensive back Ted Harvey (3.79).

Of the 191 student-athletes eligible for the balloting, the top vote-getters were Vinson, MacAfee, Abbott, Lewis and running backs Jeff Logan of Ohio State and Joseph Holland of Cornell (in that order).

The cumulative grade-point average of the 23-man first team is 3.69, slightly higher than the 3.48 of the second team.

To be nominated, a student-athlete had to be a regular performer on his football squad and possess an average of 3.00 or better. A nominee also was required to have achieved sophomore status.

College Division

In the college division, the five student-athletes compiling 4.00 grade-point averages were offensive end John Urness, a Portland State University pre-law major; offensive tackle Mike Hall, an East Texas State accounting major; quarterback Mark Phelps, a Carthage College mathematics major; linebacker Scott McLuckey, a Westminster College (Pennsylvania) chemistry major and defensive back Mike Bet-

tinger, a St. Joseph's College elementary education major.

The 11 repeaters are offensive tackle Billy Curbo of Abilene Christian, offensive guard Wade Whitmer of Texas A&I, running backs Richard Moser of Rhode Island and Michael Corp of Rochester, center William Leahy of Connecticut, kicker Charlie Sammis of Millikin, defensive tackles Thomas Zinkula of Cornell College and Mark Stull of Muhlenberg, linebackers Joe Henke of Texas A&I and Paul Schweizer of Delaware and defensive back Joseph Fry of Franklin & Marshall. The combined grade-point average of the 11 was 3.65, slightly lower than the 3.67 average of the entire two-team squad.



ROBERT DUGAS
LSU




KARL BEER
Indiana Central



JOHN PETERS
Indiana Central



JEFF LEWIS
Georgia



JAMES LOFTON
Stanford



TOM KELLY
St. Thomas



JOHN URNESS
Portland State



VIC ANGE LINE
Lafayette



KEVIN MCCARTHY
Lafayette



BRUCE THORNTON
Illinois



CHARLIE WEBER
Illinois



JON ABBOTT
Arizona



FRED SLONE
North Dakota



STEVE FULLER
Clemson



GUY BENJAMIN
Stanford



KEVIN ROLLWAGE
Houston



MARK CLARK
Cornell College



TOM ZINKULA
Cornell College

University Division

FIRST TEAM OFFENSE

Pos.	Player and School	Ht.	Wt.	Cl.	Hometown	GPA	Major
OE	Ken MacAfee, Notre Dame	6-4	250	Sr.	Brockton, Mass.	3.31	Pre-Med
OE	Robert Fabris, Mississippi	6-2	184	Sr.	Starkville, Miss.	4.00	Business
OT	Robert Dugas, Louisiana State	6-4	261	Jr.	Luling, La.	3.70	Pre-Med
OT	Kevin Rollwage, Houston	6-1	224	Sr.	Dallas, Texas	3.80	Accounting
OG	Dave Vinson, Notre Dame	6-2	237	Sr.	Liberty, Texas	3.96	Pre-Med
OG	Floyd Dorsey, Kansas State	6-4	227	Sr.	Shawnee Mission, Kan.	3.62	Pre-Vet Med
C	Gill Beck, Appalachian State	6-2	240	Sr.	Lenoir, N. C.	3.97	Engineering
RB	Jeff Logan, Ohio State	5-10	184	Sr.	Canton, Ohio	3.17	Public Relations
RB	Joseph Holland, Cornell	6-1	200	Sr.	Bronxville, N. Y.	3.70	English/History
RB	Wes Chandler, Florida	6-1	188	Sr.	N. Smyrna Beach, Fla.	3.13	Speech
QB	Guy Benjamin, Stanford	6-4	202	Sr.	Sepulveda, Calif.	3.20	Political Science
K	Greg Martin, Vanderbilt	6-1	185	Sr.	Little Rock, Ark.	4.00	Economics

FIRST TEAM DEFENSE

DE	George Plasketes, Mississippi	6-2	218	Sr.	N. Riverside, Ill.	3.50	Journalism
DE	Joe Avanzini, Oklahoma State	6-2	204	Sr.	Coalgate, Okla.	3.50	Finance
DT	Jon Abbott, Arizona	6-2	242	Sr.	Phoenix, Ariz.	3.89	Pre-Med
DT	Robert Rumbaugh, New Mexico	6-3	228	Jr.	Albuquerque, N. M.	3.76	Electrical Eng
LB	Jeff Lewis, Georgia	6-2	210	Sr.	Atlanta, Ga.	4.00	Pre-Law
LB	Kenny Caldwell, The Citadel	6-1	223	Jr.	Anderson, S. C.	3.89	Chemistry
LB	Kevin Monk, Texas A&M	6-0	215	Sr.	Seguin, Texas	3.80	Civil Eng
LB	John Fowler, UCLA	6-4	229	Sr.	Hawthorne, Calif	3.68	Biochemistry
DB	Ken Smith, William & Mary	5-11	175	Sr.	Mt. Holly, N. J.	3.80	Chemistry/Psych
DB	Ted Harvey, Nebraska	5-10	175	Sr.	Lexington, Nebraska	3.79	Pre-Optometry
S	Joe Restic, Notre Dame	6-2	190	Jr.	Milford, Mass.	3.70	Pre-Med

SECOND TEAM

Offense		Defense	
OE	James Lofton, Stanford	DE	Joe Muffler, Maryland
OE	Rick Wagner, Hawaii	DE	Wayne Hamilton, Alabama
OT	Steve Heim, Arkansas	DT	Ted Librizzi, Ohio State
OT	Stan Waldemore, Nebraska	DT	Bruce Thornton, Illinois
OG	Lou Green, Alabama	LB	Rich Scudellari, Boston College
OG	Jim Sciarini, Michigan State	LB	Craig Fedore, Michigan State
C	Gary Bethel, Southern California	LB	John Anderson, Michigan
RB	Dan Doornink, Washington State	LB	Clay Matthews, Southern California
RB	Bob Avery, Syracuse	DB	Mack McCollum, Air Force
RB	Charlie Weber, Illinois	DB	Doug Henderson, Arizona
QB	Steve Fuller, Clemson	S	Jonathon Claiborne, Maryland
K	Craig Jones, VMI		

College Division

FIRST TEAM OFFENSE

Pos.	Player and School	Ht.	Wt.	Cl.	Hometown	GPA	Major
OE	John Urness, Portland State	5-10	170	Jr.	The Dalles, Ore.	4.00	Pre-Law
OE	Dewey O'Neal, Jacksonville State	6-1	210	Jr.	Weaver, Ala.	3.90	Biology
OT	Mike Hall, East Texas	6-3	225	So.	Wolfe City, Texas	4.00	Accounting
OT	Billy Curbo, Abilene Christian	6-4	250	Sr.	Whitney, Texas	3.66	Accounting
OG	Wade Whitmer, Texas A&I	6-1	215	Jr.	Houston, Texas	3.88	Pre-Med
OG	Tom Kelly, St. Thomas	6-3	230	Sr.	St. Paul, Minn.	3.72	Psychology
C	Blake Moore, Wooster	6-5	235	So.	Signal Mountain, Tenn.	3.80	Pre-Law
RB	Richard Moser, Rhode Island	6-0	217	Sr.	Scarsdale, N. Y.	3.71	Marketing
RB	Jon Culp, Waynesburg	5-8	165	Jr.	Clarksville, Pa.	3.75	Business Adm.
RB	Robert Jennings, Kenyon	6-3	205	Sr.	Cincinnati, Ohio	3.31	Pre-Law
QB	Mark Phelps, Carthage	6-2	180	Sr.	Burlington, Wisc.	4.00	Mathematics
K	Stan Biondi, Augustana (S. D.)	5-11	174	Sr.	Granger, Ia.	3.68	Business Adm.

FIRST TEAM DEFENSE

DE	Steve Fisher, Montana	6-4	240	Jr.	Missoula, Mont.	3.83	Economics
DE	Bill Matthews, So. Dakota State	6-3	238	Sr.	Wessington, S. D.	3.63	Dairy Science
DT	Charles Hauck, Johns Hopkins	6-1	220	Sr.	Baltimore, Md.	3.86	Electrical Eng
DT	Thomas Zinkula, Cornell College	6-2	210	Jr.	Mount Vernon, Ia.	3.94	Econ. & Math
LB	Scott McLuckey, Westminster	6-1	170	Sr.	Cumberland, Md.	4.00	Chemistry
LB	Neil Mandstager, Wartburg	6-3	190	Sr.	Marshalltown, Ia.	3.67	Pre-Med
LB	Bruce Niehm, Ashland	6-0	202	Jr.	Sandusky, Ohio	3.70	Pre-Phys Therapy
LB	Joe Henke, Texas A&I	6-1	210	Sr.	Hallettsville, Texas	3.60	Agriculture
DB	Joseph Fry, Franklin & Marshall	5-11	175	Jr.	Columbia, Pa.	3.80	Accounting
DB	Mike Bettinger, St. Joseph's	5-9	175	So.	Merton, Wisc.	4.00	Elementary Ed
S	Steve Frommeyer, Eastern Ky.	6-1	180	Sr.	Cold Springs, Ky.	3.96	Sociology

SECOND TEAM

Offense		Defense	
OE	Leroy Young, Indiana (Pennsylvania)	DE	Jim Schoettler, Johns Hopkins
OE	Karl Beer, Indiana Central	DE	Kevin McCarthy, Lafayette
OT	Warren Coleman, James Madison	DT	Mark Stull, Muhlenberg
OT	Paul Rice, Westminster (Pennsylvania)	DT	Gaar Potter, Western State (Colorado)
OG	John Mihota, Indiana (Pennsylvania)	LB	John Peters, Indiana Central
OG	Larry Friedrichs, Northern Arizona	LB	Roger Goebel, Augustana (So. Dakota)
C	William Leahy, Connecticut	LB	Paul Schweizer, Delaware
RB	Mark Clark, Cornell College	LB	Louis Sannutti, Bloomsburg State
RB	Roger Andrachik, Baldwin-Wallace	DB	Vic Angeline, Lafayette
RB	Michael Corp, Rochester	DB	Fred Slone, North Dakota
QB	Dee Jay Donlin, Augustana (So. Dakota)	S	Sam Miller, Boise State
K	Charlie Sammis, Millikin		

1978 National Collegiate Basketball Championship

MARCH 11
MARCH 12

MARCH 16
MARCH 17

MARCH 18
MARCH 19

MARCH 25

MARCH 27

EAST REGIONAL

- Duke
- Rhode Island
- Pennsylvania
- St. Bonaventure
- Furman
- Indiana
- Villanova
- La Salle

Providence College
Providence, R. I.

MIDWEST REGIONAL

- Missouri
- Utah
- Houston
- Notre Dame
- Creighton
- De Paul
- Louisville
- St. John's

University of Kansas
Lawrence, Kansas

MIDWEST REGIONAL

- Michigan State
- Indianapolis
- Providence
- Western Kentucky
- Syracuse
- Miami of Ohio
- Marquette
- Kentucky
- Florida State

University of Dayton
Dayton, Ohio

WEST REGIONAL

- UCLA
- Kansas
- Weber State
- Arkansas
- San Francisco
- North Carolina
- New Mexico
- Fullerton

University of New Mexico
Albuquerque, N. M.



NATIONAL CHAMPION
The Checkerdome
St. Louis, Mo



The Checkerdome
St. Louis, Mo.

National
Third Place

The Checkerdome
St. Louis, Mo.

Non-Profit Organization
U. S. POSTAGE
PAID
Permit No. 4794
KANSAS CITY, MO.



NEWS



U.S. Highway 50 and Mall Ave., P.O. Box 1906
Shawnee Mission, Kansas 66222

An Equal Opportunity Employer
ADDRESS CORRECTION REQUESTED
March 1, 1978

In This Issue:

- 1 Football Preview 1
- 1 USWF Challenges AAU 1
- 3 Committee Assignments 3
- 4 Division III Philosophy 4
- 5 Mexican Competition 5
- 6 Helmet Recertification 6
- 7 Academic All-America 7